

NATIONAL CITY LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM

LAND USE PLAN

Prepared By

George S. Nolte & Associates, 1981,

And updated by the City of National City, 1988

Adopted by City Council Resolution No. 15,614

May 10, 1988

Amended by:

Resolution No. 91-60, April 2, 1991

Resolution No. 93 -26, March 2, 1993

Resolution No. 96-145, September 10, 1996

Resolution No. 96-177, December 17, 1996

Resolution No. 97-53, May 6, 1997

Certified by the California Coastal Commission

April 14, 1988

July 16, 1991

June 10, 1993

July 10, 1997

This document was prepared with financial assistance from the Office of Coastal Zone Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, under the provisions of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, and from the California Coastal Commission under the provisions of the Coastal Act of 1976.

EXHIBIT 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Executive Summary I.	i
CHAPTER	
I. The Coastal Act	1
II. National City	65
III. Public Access (Public Access Component)	1210
IV. Recreation	2015
V. Marsh Preservation	2720
VI. Visual Resources	3728
VII. Industrial Development	4431
VIII. Environmental Hazards	4434
REFERENCES	
Appendix I — California Coastal Act Chapter 3 Policies	
Appendix II — Biological Resources of Paradise Marsh (RECON)	
Appendix III — Schedule of Parking Requirements	
Appendix IV — City Standards for Building Aesthetics and Materials, Height, Signing and Landscaping.	

LIST OF FIGURES

		PAGE
1	Land Use Plan	xiii
2	Political Jurisdictions	7
3	Subareas—National City Coastal Zone	11
4	Public Access	19
<u>1</u>	<u>Political Jurisdictions Map</u>	<u>iv</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>Land Use Map</u>	<u>v</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>Balanced Plan Map</u>	<u>viii</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>City Owned Parcels Existing and Proposed Zoning Map</u>	<u>ix</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>Public Access Map</u>	<u>xii</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>Sub-Areas Map</u>	<u>9</u>

REFERENCES

~~Appendix I—California Coastal Act—Chapter 3 Policies~~

~~Appendix II—Biological Resources of Paradise Marsh (RECON)~~

~~Appendix III—Schedule of Parking Requirements~~

~~Appendix IV—City Standards for Building Aesthetics and Materials, Height, Signing and Landscaping.~~

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

~~Local control over land use in the coastal zone was significantly modified with the passage of the California Coastal Zone Conservation Act by the voters of California in November, 1972. Proposition 20 set forth a distinct role for the State in coastal land use matters, and created the California Coastal Zone Conservation Commission and six Regional Coastal Commissions. The mandated mission of the Coastal Commission was to prepare a statewide comprehensive plan for the "orderly, long range conservation and the management of the coast", and to regulate development while the plan was being prepared. Preparation of the Coastal Plan commenced in 1973, and it was submitted to the state legislature in December, 1975. Based upon the Coastal Plan and the Commission's experience of the preceding years, the California legislature passed the California Coastal Act in August, 1976. Public access, resource protection, and protection of maritime related industries in the Coastal Zone were significantly enhanced with the passage of the California Coastal Zone Conservation Act by the voters of California in November, November 1972. Subsequent required changes to the Act now includes environmental justice and climate change as impacts to be mitigated. The City of National City and its Coastal Zone is an area where the community has long been impacted by toxic air pollutants that are largely attributed to port maritime industrial-related operations. The community has had few opportunities to access the bay and yet is the most impacted by airborne pollutants. From 2007 to 2022 the Port of San Diego, the City of National City, the community, and Port tenants worked collectively to develop a balanced plan that would serve as the basis for creating enhanced public access and recreational opportunities while protecting maritime-related industries. In November, 2022 the Port of San Diego certified the Balanced Plan Environmental Impact Report, which and forwarded to the Coastal Commission a Port Master Plan Amendment that reflected these several land use changes to within the Port Master Plan. As a consequence of~~ Because of that action the City is now amending its the LCPLocal Coastal Plan portion of its Local Coastal Program (LCP) (LCPA) to reflect the approval of the Balanced Plan. The Balanced Plan Local Coastal Plan Amendment (LCPA) is the first phase of a multi-phased LCPA process which will update the entire LCP to reflect changes in the Coastal Act, update background information, and make necessary corrections throughout the document. The City intends to provide the California Coastal Commission (Commission) with a comprehensive LCP update, now estimated for fiscal year 2023/2024.

The City's 2022 LCPA is in keeping with the declaration of the state legislature in adopting the Coastal Act of 1976 was that the ~~coastal zone~~ Coastal Zone is a distinct and valuable resource of vital and enduring interest to all people. The basic objectives of the Coastal Act are to achieve the following:

"Protect, maintain, and where feasible, enhance and restore the overall quality of the ~~Coastal Zone~~ coastal zone environment and its natural and man-made resources.

"Assure orderly, balanced utilization and conservation of Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ resources taking into account the social and economic needs of the people of the state.

"Maximize public access to and along the coast and maximize public recreational opportunities in the Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ consistent with sound resource conservation principles and constitutionally protected rights of private property owners.

"Assure priority for coastal-dependent and coastal related development over other development on the coast. (Amended by Cal. Stats. 1979, Ch. 1090)

"Encourage state and local initiatives and cooperation in preparing procedures to implement coordinated planning and development of mutually beneficial uses, including educational uses, in the Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~."

In 2015 the Commission adopted a Sea Level Rise policy that considers new development applications that may be adversely impacted from the effects of climate change. And unlike many coastal cities, National City does not have many residential development properties within its Coastal Zone jurisdiction. Most of the area is zoned Medium Manufacturing, which does not permit new residential construction. Accordingly, methods and mitigation measures that would typically include retreat policies, development exclusion zones, and minimizing the armoring of the coastline have little application to the City. However, areas that are near the Sweetwater Marsh area, that have not been previously developed, may include the requirement be required to increase the elevations of any new building, or other appropriate mitigation measures described in the Balanced Plan Final Environmental Impact Report of 2022.

In 2019 the Coastal Commission adopted an Environmental Justice Policy that recognizes that marginalized populations have been subjected to discriminatory land use practices that have precluded access to affordable recreational assets along the coastline. These communities have also been disproportionately impacted by pollution. The City of National City is an environmental justice community with its residents long subjected to toxic air pollutants from Port District operations and a lack of access to lower cost recreational facilities along the bay. In large part, the Balanced Plan project is directed at expanded access to the bay, providing affordable recreational amenities, and creating well-paying jobs. While there is much work to be done, the Balanced Plan provides the framework addressing the goals of the Commission's Environmental Justice Policy.

The implementation of the Coastal Act is predicated upon the involvement of local government. The Act declares that "to achieve maximum responsiveness to local conditions, accountability and public accessibility, it is necessary to rely heavily on local government and local land use planning procedures and enforcement." To this end, the Act directs each local government within the coastal zone to prepare a Local Coastal Program (LCP). An LCP consists of a local government's land use plans, zoning ordinances, zoning district maps, and implementing actions which implement the provisions and policies of the Coastal Act at the local level.


~~During preparation of a Local Coastal program, local governments should coordinate planning with affected local, regional, state, and federal agencies, and provide the maximum opportunity for public participation. Under the Coastal Act, the responsibility for ensuring meaningful public participation rests with both the Coastal Commission and local government; however, the local government retains the primary responsibility for involving the public in the actual planning process.~~

After the LCP has been reviewed and approved by the local government, it is then submitted to the State Coastal Commission for review. In certifying the land use plan, the Commission must find that the plan conforms to Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act, and contains the required public access component. The zoning and implementing ordinances are then reviewed to determine conformance with the approved land use plan. Once the land use plan and implementing ordinances have been certified, the review authority for new development within the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ will revert from the Coastal Commission to local government. The local government in issuing coastal development permits must then make the finding that the development is in conformity with the approved LCP.

The Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ of National City includes all the area west of I-5, and a small area east of I-5 south of 30th Street. However, the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ is controlled by three separate governmental agencies: the San Diego Unified Port District, the United States Navy, and the City of National City. The Port District has jurisdiction over all of the state tidelands bayward of the adjudicated mean high tide line, approximately 250 acres of land area and any property acquired by the Port and approved by the State Lands Commission (~~—~~ See Figure 1). The Port District's jurisdiction also includes an isolated parcel of tidelands which is located in the salt flats south of Chula Vista that is connected to National City by a 300-foot wide corridor through San Diego Bay. The Port District submitted its Master Plan to the Coastal Commission, and it was conditionally approved in October, 1980. The plan and has been amended several times since then. Under the jurisdiction of the United States Navy is approximately 300 acres and 8,300 lineal feet of bay frontage. Federal lands are under the jurisdiction of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act, which states that military lands shall comply with coastal planning to the extent that national security is not imperiled. The Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ area over which National City retains jurisdiction totals approximately 575 acres, and is bounded by the U.S. Navy lands to the north, and the Chula Vista Bayfront to the south.

The purpose of the Land Use Plan of National City's Local Coastal Program (LCP)~~contains~~ is to provide technical background information, policy recommendations, and a land use plan map (~~—~~ See Figure 2). The substantive areas of discussion, as directed by the work program approved by the Coastal Commission, are public access, recreation, marsh preservation, visual resources, industrial development, and environmental hazards. The City's LCP is now revised to include a new section of a plan prepared in conjunction with the San Diego Unified Port District (District) referred herein as the Balanced Plan. The Balanced Plan essentially replaces the City's Harbor District Area Specific Area Plan (HDSAP) due to the fact that the HDSAP has been implemented and no longer relevant given the changes in jurisdictional boundaries between the District and the City. The Balanced Plan preserves all applicable HDSAP public access and, resource protection policies. Each of the above issue areas is discussed and evaluated as to existing conditions and existing planning and zoning regulations. This discussion is then followed by a more in-depth analysis of


planning issues and their applicability to Coastal Act policies and Coastal Commission guidelines. Each discussion category is concluded with appropriate policy recommendations.



National City Political Jurisdictions Map

Figure 1





National City Land Use Plan

Figure 2



A listing of those recommendations is presented in the “POLICY RECOMMENDATION” section on page x below:

THE BALANCED PLAN

OVERVIEW

The City of National City (City), in conjunction with the San Diego Unified Port District (District), GB Capital Holdings (GB Capital), District tenants, and Pasha Automotive Services (Pasha) have been working together to propose a mixed-use recreational and maritime industrial project that includes both landside and waterside development components on approximately 58 landside acres and 19 waterside acres in the City’s waterfront area. This project is collectively referred to as the “Balanced Plan” and is intended to be mutually beneficial to the region. It is geographically located within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City and the District.

Specifically, the Balanced Plan includes the following main components within the City’s jurisdiction, which are addressed in detail later in this section:

- Amendments to the City’s LCP, General Plan, and Land Use Code (—, LUC), that would include changes to the City’s and District’s jurisdictional boundaries due to District land purchases; changes to subarea boundaries; and changes to land use, specific plan, and zone designations
- Removal of approximately 12.4 acres within the Balanced Plan area, located mostly on the GB Capital site east of the mean high tide line and owned in fee by the District, from the City’s General Plan, LCP, and LUC to reflect changes in land use and jurisdictional authority.
- Construction and operation of a new segment of the Bayshore Bikeway
- Supplant the Harbor District Specific Area Plan (HDSAP) and incorporate applicable HDSAP components into the City’s Amended LCP.

The Balanced Plan also includes a number of development components located within the jurisdiction of the District which are integral to the overall development of the waterfront and marina area. However, as a function of State law under the Unified Port District Act (“Act”) the City has no land use authority over lands acquired by the District and as a result are no longer addressed in the City’s LCP. Per the requirements of the Act and the California Coastal Act, all District development components are addressed in the District’s Port Master Plan Amendment. Changes within the District’s jurisdiction include the following and are presented for informational purposes:

- Changes to land and water use designations in the District’s Port Master Plan (PMP).
- Construction and operation of a recreational vehicle (RV) park, modular cabins, dry boat storage, an expanded marina, and up to four hotels, primarily within the District’s jurisdiction within lands leased to GB Capital.
- The expansion of Pepper Park from 5.2 acres to 7.7 acres to increase park space and

recreational opportunities for the community.

- Construction and operation of a rail connector track and storage track within the District's jurisdiction to serve the Pasha Group's maritime operations at the National City Marine Terminal.
- Closure of Tidelands Avenue between Bay Marina Drive and 32nd Street, as well as West 28th Street between Tidelands Avenue and Quay Avenue, within the District's and City's jurisdictions, and re-designation of the area to Marine-Related Industrial in the District's PMP.
- Construction and operation of Segment 5 of the Bayshore Bikeway within the District's jurisdiction in coordination with the bikeway sections located in the City's jurisdiction.
- Construction and operation of hotel, restaurant, retail, and/or a combination of tourist/visitor serving commercial development north of Bay Marina Drive
- PMP Amendment (PMPA) to clarify jurisdictional land use authority, re-designate land uses, and balance commercial and maritime uses.

HARBOR DISTRICT SPECIFIC AREA PLAN (HDSAP)

The HDSAP was adopted by the City Council on July 28, 1998 and was certified by California Coastal Commission November 5, 1998. At that time, the HDSAP was intended to be a resource-based, environmental implementation plan to establish site-specific conservation and development standards in the OSR (Open Space Reserve), CT (Tourist Commercial), MM (Medium Industrial Manufacturing), and OS (Open Space) districts. No land use changes or specific development were included, however. Since 1998, the HDSAP has been implemented and no longer relevant given the changes in jurisdictional boundaries between the District and the City and, hence, the HDSAP will be replaced by the Balance Plan in the following manner:

- The Balanced Plan includes the removal of approximately 12.4 acres located mostly on the GB Capital site east of the mean high tide line and owned in fee by the District (Subareas B-1 and B-2). Because this land is no longer in the City's jurisdiction, it is eliminated from the City's General Plan, LCP, and LUC to reflect changes jurisdictional authority.
- HDSAP Subarea A, approximately 8.3 acres, has already been developed with the Marina Best Weste rn-Gateway project, consistent with the HDSAP.
- HDSAP Subarea B-3 is being utilized as a buffer to the Paradise Marsh, public access, the Bayshore Bikeway, and for utility corridor, including large-SDG&E transmission towers. Additionally, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has an easement providing access to the Paradise Marsh and the D Street Fill, located just south of the site.
- HDSAP Subarea C proposed the Harrison Avenue Public Access Corridor which has been completed.
- HDSAP Subarea D, a 3,500-foot-long segment of filled land, which lies between the I-5 freeway and the Paradise Marsh, has been restored with native landscaping, consistent with the HDSAP.
- The Paradise Marsh unit of the Sweetwater Marsh National Wildlife Refuge is federally owned, operated, and managed and is no longer under National City Coastal Development authority.

- In 2008, Pier 32 Marina, entirely located within the District’s jurisdiction, opened to the public and provided increased public access and public views to the water, consistent with the HDSAP.

BALANCED PLAN-CITY PROGRAM

Land Use Changes

The City Program is an integral component of the overall Balanced Plan. Development on the Balanced Plan City Program would not be subject to the Public Trust, but it would be within the California Coastal Zone and the City's LCP area. As previously discussed, the City Program would require amendments to the City's General Plan, LUC, and LCP and would replace the HDSAP. The general components of the Balanced Plan are shown in Figure 3. Balanced Plan components that are within the jurisdiction of the Port District are show for illustrative purposes only.

The City Program proposes amendments to the City's General Plan, LCP, and LUC for seven City-owned parcels located north of Bay Marina Drive. See Figure 4. Parcels 1 through 6 are owned by the City and compose two complete blocks between Bay Marina Drive to the south, West 23rd Street to the north, Marina Way (formerly Harrison Avenue) to the west, and I-5 to the east. The City proposes to rezone Parcels 1, 2, 3, 5 ,and 6 from MM (Medium Industrial) to CT which could allow these parcels to be developed with hotel, restaurant, retail, and/or some combination of tourist-/visitor-serving commercial uses. The CT zone currently allows a floor area ratio (FAR) of up to 1.0, with no height limit; however, as part of the Balanced Plan, the FAR will increase to 2.0. The maximum allowable development with a FAR of 2.0 would be approximately 254,782 square feet of floor area. The proposed 2.0 FAR would allow for the development of desired land uses that require substantial floor areas such as hotels which would be of economic benefit to the City and provide opportunities for increased public access to the City’s marina area. Development standards such as the parking requirement and landscaping would be based on the specific uses permitted in the CT zone at such time as future development is proposed.

Additionally, there are two easements along the wetlands; one is owned by SDG&E and the other provides access to the US Fish and Wildlife Service Refuge and is operated by the Service. These easements are located directly east of the Pier 32 Marina and across the Sweetwater Channel and terminating at the wildlife refuge may. With the removal of the South Bay Power Plant in Chula Vista, the SDG&E easement area may provide additional recreation opportunities under and enhance opportunities to meet the goals of the Balanced Plan. This area could serve as parking or overnight accommodations or other development that would enhance the marina environment. Such uses would be required, as part of the CEQA environmental review process, to fully mitigate any biological impacts to adjoining habitats or to protect other coastal resources.

The remaining Parcels 4 and 7 are currently zoned CT and will remain so. Parcel 7 (approximately 1.2 acres), owned by the City and leased to the San Diego Railway Association, is at the northwest corner of Bay Marina Drive and Marina Way. The historic Santa Fe Rail Depot is on this parcel, and no new development is proposed on this parcel. No other City land use changes or

development are currently proposed as part of the Balanced Plan.

City/District Jurisdictional Changes

The Balanced Plan proposes the removal of approximately 12.4 acres within the Balanced Plan area, located mostly on the current day GB Capital leasehold east of the mean high tide line and on land now owned in fee by the District, from the City's General Plan, LCP, and LUC to reflect changes in land use and jurisdictional authority. This change will clarify the jurisdictional boundary between the City and the District and will be reflected on all City zoning and General Plan Maps. These lands are not currently regulated by the PMP and this jurisdictional amendment will ensure consistency with the California Coastal Act, Public Trust Doctrine, and Act. The District will amend its PMP map accordingly.

Bayshore Bikeway


As a major goal of the City's original LCP submission, the Bayshore Bikeway is now being realized. The Bayshore Bikeway Segment 5 is generally located on a combination of existing roadways, including Bay Marina Drive, Marina Way (formerly Harrison Avenue), Cleveland Avenue, McKinley Avenue, West 19th Street, Tidelands Avenue, West 14th Street, and Civic Center Drive. Most of the Bayshore Bikeway Component is located within the City's jurisdiction, and the southernmost portion is located within District jurisdiction. This new section of the Bayshore Bikeway is an important component of the 24-mile Bayshore Bikeway that circumnavigates San Diego Bay.



Balance Plan Map
National City and Port of San Diego Components*

Figure 3





Balance Plan City Program
Existing & Proposed Zoning

Figure 4




POLICY RECOMMENDATION

PUBLIC ACCESS

1. New public shoreline accessways shall be designated to and along Paradise Marsh and the Sweetwater River Channel as generally shown in [See Figure 5](#).
2. Public accessways [to or near the water](#) ~~as designated in Condition Number One~~ shall be provided in conjunction with new development and protected through public access easements or other suitable means of conveyance.
3. In the event that new development is not forthcoming, it is the City's policy to seek the assistance of the Coastal Conservancy, or other appropriate agency, to acquire, plan, and finance public shoreline access.
4. The precise location, design and identification of public accessways shall be consistent, to the maximum degree feasible, with the coastal access standards prepared jointly by the Coastal Commission and the Coastal Conservancy.
5. As indicated in the General Plan, it is the City's policy that the Bay Route Bikeway ([now the Bayshore Bikeway](#)) be extended southerly from ~~24th Street~~ [Bay Marina Drive and Harrison Street Avenue](#) to the Paradise Marsh and boat launching ramp areas and across Sweetwater River Channel to the Chula Vista Bayfront. It is also the City's policy that additional nature trails and bicycle trails be developed adjacent to the Paradise Marsh to connect to the Bay Route Bikeway and Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel Trail System.
6. Alternative modes of access to National City's Bayfront shall be actively encouraged. Specifically, the trail systems proposed as a part of the Army Corps' Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel project, which would provide linkage from National City's Bayfront to inland areas, are supported.
7. All new development shall incorporate adequate on-site parking to accommodate the parking demand generated. The number of required parking spaces for new development shall be determined during the implementation phase of the Local Coastal Program, but shall be, at a minimum, consistent with the schedule of parking requirements of the Municipal Code (Appendix III).
8. ~~Marina Drive Way, Aa~~ [Aa](#) new access road [formerly known as Harrison Avenue, located](#) westerly and parallel to the Paradise Marsh ~~would~~ [extend](#)s southerly from the vicinity of ~~Bay Marina Drive Harrison Avenue at 24th Street~~ [Bay Marina Drive](#) to the National City Boat Launching Facilities and ~~future marine oriented~~ [Pier 32 Marina, which includes a variety of,](#) commercial and ~~or~~ recreational uses. A buffer shall be ~~provided-maintained~~ between the roadway and the marsh. ~~A Specific Plan shall be prepared to~~ [The Balanced Plan](#) ~~identifies~~ [a desirable-continued](#) buffering between the marsh and roadway, located in the upland area west of Paradise Marsh. It ~~will-should~~ [also proposes](#) landscaping or other

design elements to provide visual linkage and identity for the Paradise Marsh area and appropriate visual separation from the industrial area to the west and freeway to the east.

9. ~~9. New development shall not interfere with desirable public access that may exist or be established by public use on or across private property, i.e. prescriptive rights. Desirable public access shall include access to natural or constructed coastal, recreational resources, except where necessary to protect fragile coastal resources or public safety, or where adequately provided for in another area. Development projects shall be reviewed to determine evidence of public use. The proposed Balanced Plan, in conjunction with the Port of San Diego, would also increase the availability of lower costs visitor serving uses and allow greater public access to the waterfront. —Implementation of the Balanced Plan would provide new opportunities to experience views of the Bay and Sweetwater Channel from the expansion of Pepper Park, and new hotels, a recreational vehicle park, and modular cabins. These land use changes provide lower cost recreational opportunities for the community and members of the near-by region that have been historically underserved.~~
10. Public access shall include access to natural or constructed coastal, recreational resources, except where necessary to protect fragile coastal resources or public safety, or where adequately provided for in another area.



National City Public Access

Figure 5



RECREATION

1. The National City Bayfront shall be designated for tourist commercial and recreational use, as indicated in the Land Use Plan (Figure 12). Using the SD&AE railroad as a point of demarcation, consistent with the wetland area proposed for acquisition by the Army Corps of Engineers, the area located to the east, including Paradise Marsh and surrounding lands, shall be designated suitable for passive recreational uses only. The areas to the west and to the north of the Marsh shall be designated for tourist commercial and recreational uses. Wetland resources located west of the railroad, which are not proposed for public acquisition, shall be protected from incompatible development, consistent with marsh preservation policies.
2. The passive recreational area would accommodate the preservation of Paradise Marsh, along with the provision of public accessways and landscaped areas. Public access would be provided and managed consistent with the public access component of the LCP and the maintenance of wetland resource values. Beyond this area, a transition to more active uses could begin. Landscaped areas suitable for picnicking and general recreation may be appropriate.
3. In order to meet specific recreational market demand and provide an attraction for secondary uses, overnight uses and boating uses shall be assigned the highest commercial development priority for the commercial recreational areas. For the area west of Paradise Marsh, appropriate uses include expanded marina development, new hotel/motel and restaurant facilities, recreational vehicle park/campground, dry-storage and boat service facility, and/or public park areas. For the area north of Paradise marsh, hotel/motel facilities, restaurants and other tourist commercial uses would be appropriate. The intensity of development shall be reviewed for impacts on traffic circulation. A Specific Plan shall be prepared to address traffic circulation and roadway improvements, in conjunction with development plans for the tourist commercial area west of Paradise Marsh. The Specific Plan shall determine the location of roadway improvements, based on resource protection standards, i.e., consistency with marsh preservation policies.

~~Tourist-Visitor commercial development in the above referenced areas shall be consistent with existing or currently planned road capacities to the north and south of the proposed tourist commercial area, including the planned extension of Harrison Avenue and the Tidelands Avenue crossing proposed in the City of Chula Vista Bayfront LCP. The intensity of development shall also be reflective of the constraints placed on these roadways by the Marsh Preservation policies of the LCP, this Plan. Approval of these land uses shall not be considered precedent for increasing the capacity of the roads to the north and south of the tourist commercial area.~~
4. In order to develop the visitor~~tourist~~ commercial and recreational area west of Paradise Marsh coordination with the Port District for concurrent development of Port District lands shall continue to be encouraged while environmental justice impacts are mitigated~~, shall be encouraged~~. A higher quality project and a better design should result from such coordination and a more viable development will likely be attracted to the area.
5. To enhance the recreational potential and ~~attractiveness~~public use of the National City waterfront, the restoration of Paradise Marsh is a desirable program. A feasible restoration program shall be determined with the potential assistance of the Coastal Conservancy, or other appropriate agencies, to finance, plan, and implement such a restoration program. The program shall also involve

coordination with the Bayfront Conservancy Trust in its efforts to finance, plan and implement a restoration program, including access and recreational features.

6. To ensure that the recreational potential of the area is maximized, development shall take into account the proximity to the ~~MTDB's MTS Trolley System~~ "San Diego Trolley", the Bays ~~Shore Route~~ Bikeway, and the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel's recreational areas and trails systems, as well as recreational uses planned ~~in~~for the adjacent Chula Vista Bayfront and other waterfront development ~~along the~~ San Diego Bay.

MARSH PRESERVATION

1. The wetlands of the Paradise Creek Marsh as well as the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5, including salt marsh, freshwater marsh, salt-pan, channel, and mudflat habitats, are valuable and sensitive biological resources, and shall be preserved. The plan designation for these areas shall be OPEN SPACE/WETLAND PRESERVE. The boundaries of the "Open Space Wetland Preserve" areas include the marsh area required for acquisition by the Army Corps of Engineers for the Sweetwater River flood control improvements, marsh area within Caltrans right-of-way easterly of the SDG&E right-of-way, and the secondary area of Paradise Marsh east of the I-5 freeway. The Sweetwater River area, south of 35th Street, designated for industrial and commercial use, and the wetlands located west of the railroad, which are not proposed for public acquisition, also contain valuable biological resources which shall be preserved under an overlay zone or other appropriate, implementing regulation which shall be defined in the implementation plan. The overlay zone or implementing regulation shall include requirements for mapping all wetlands not included in the "Open Space Wetland Reserve" land use designation, execution of open space easements over identified resources and their buffers in conjunction with new development and a determination of appropriate buffers for any new development.
2. In order to preserve Paradise Marsh, ~~the~~ the wetlands located west ~~of the former railroad right-of-way, including the proposed part of the Bayshore Bikeway Segment 5, of the railroad,~~ which are not proposed for public acquisition; the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5; and the Sweetwater River south of 35th Street shall adhere to the following:
 - Alteration shall be limited to minor incidental public facilities, restoration measures, and nature study. Consistent with the provisions of Section 30233, the diking, dredging and filling of wetlands, open waters, estuaries and lakes shall be permitted only where there is no feasible less environmentally damaging alternative, and where feasible mitigation measures have been provided to minimize adverse environmental effects, and shall be limited to the following: incidental public service purposes, restoration purposes, and nature study. There shall be no alteration of Paradise Marsh, the wetlands located west of the railroad which are not proposed for public acquisition, as well as of the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5, and the Sweetwater River south of 35th Street, except as determined by a marsh restoration program which has been approved by the California Coastal Commission.
 - The dumping of rubbish or commercial waste into the marsh areas shall be prohibited.
 - The intrusion of off-road vehicles and unauthorized pedestrian traffic into the marsh areas shall be discouraged.

A buffer area shall be established for new development adjacent to wetlands. A 100 ft. distance from the edge of the wetland shall generally provide an acceptable buffer acceptable to the sState and fFederal agencies.

~~• The required distance may be increased or decreased based on consultation with the Department of Fish and Game. A buffer area less than 100 feet wide may be permitted, depending upon the analysis of the specific site proposed for development. Examples which may demonstrate that a lesser distance would be acceptable include but are not limited to the type and size of development, proposed buffer improvements such as landscaping or fencing, and existing site characteristics such as a grade differential between a marsh area and adjacent upland area, existing development in the area, and parcel size and configuration. Consistency with buffers required as part of the Sweetwater River Channel/Rt. 54 project shall also be considered in order to determine appropriate buffers less than 100 feet wide. The buffers shall be determined with the concurrence of the state Department of Fish and Game.~~

~~• 3. To enhance the habitat and aesthetic value of Paradise Marsh, the wetlands located west of the railroad, which are not proposed for acquisition, as well as the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5, and the Sweetwater River south of 35th Street, feasible restoration activities shall be encouraged. Feasible restoration activities shall be determined with the potential assistance of the Coastal Conservancy, or other public agency or private group, including the Bayfront Conservancy Trust, to finance, plan, implement and manage a restoration program. The recommended elements for a restoration program include:~~

~~• A public access and information program that would be designed to allow observation of the marsh, while controlling intrusion into the marsh itself. A component of the access program should be an interpretive nature trail along the western margins of Paradise Marsh, which could connect with an observation platform.~~

~~• The removal of all rubbish and debris from the marsh through a volunteer effort, or the California Conservation Corps.~~

~~• The dredging of Paradise Marsh, consistent with a marsh restoration program, prepared in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game and approved by the California Coastal Commission, possibly concurrent with the construction of the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel, to improve tidal flow and flushing. Dredging shall be restricted to existing tidal channels.~~

~~• The encouragement of a scientific research program.~~

4. To enhance the habitat and aesthetic value of Paradise Marsh, the wetlands located west of the railroad and , proposed new development, including roadways, located near to the wetlands of Paradise Marsh, Proposed new development, including roadways, located adjacent to the wetlands of Paradise Marsh, the wetlands located west of the railroad which are not proposed for public acquisition, the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5, and the Sweetwater River south of 35th Street, shall be designed to discourage the intrusion of pedestrians, vehicles, or domestic animals into the marsh through physical barriers such as fencing and/or landscaping with appropriate non-invasive species. In association with new development or remodeling of existing development contiguous with the wetlands, including roadways, drainage shall be directed off-site toward the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel, or to existing street drains, whenever possible, or channeled into a settling area before entering the marsh. Potential increase in the rate

of storm-water runoff, which may result from new development, including roadways, adjacent to wetlands, shall be controlled by detention basins or other means to avoid impacts of erosion and sedimentation on wetlands consistent with all applicable local, state, and federal standards. The size, design and placement of such sedimentation control devices shall be developed in consultation with the State Department of Fish and Game resource agencies prior to or concurrent with the commencement of construction and shall be installed and maintained by the developer, or any successors in interest.

5. Wetlands in private ownership, which may be located in the CT, C and M, as well as OSR designated areas, shall be protected from development through the application of mitigation measures that include, but not be limited to, buffer zones, shielding of lights, barriers, educational signage, predator control measures, and run-off protection features.
~~of an overlay zone or other appropriate, implementing regulation proposed in Policy #1. Necessary protective measures, including adequate buffers, regulations regarding the design and siting of structures, etc., and open space easements shall be determined during review of proposals for development, by application of criteria to be specified in the LCP Implementation Plan.~~

6. Landscaping in areas adjacent to wetlands shall include only native plants ~~only~~ which are non-invasive species. ~~of wetlands.~~

7. ~~For all properties that have wetland features, each projects shall incorporate BMP erosion control measures Specific erosion control measures and shall be in place during all construction activities, biological monitoring and planning, and control of run-off during all grading activities shall be approved, incorporated into development, be in place at the initial phase of work, monitored and maintained in conjunction with all grading activities, along Marina Way, consistent with Section X (B)(4)(k) of the Implementation Plan, during the period of November 1 to April 1 of each year for all properties which drain directly to marsh and wetland areas. These properties shall include all properties located in the following areas:~~

~~All properties between 35th Street and the southerly City limits;~~

~~All properties in the area lying between 33rd Street, Hoover Avenue, 30th Street and the MTDB San Diego Trolley Line;~~

~~All properties in the City's jurisdiction located westerly of Highway I-5 and south of 24th Street Bay Marina Drive.~~

VISUAL RESOURCES

1. ~~To ensure that the Army Corps of Engineer's Sweetwater River Flood Control project improves the scenic resources of the area, National City shall support and encourage the project as proposed with the following mitigations:~~

~~• The restoration of the marsh connections with the Sweetwater River, and~~

~~• The development of shoreline recreational features along the banks of the flood control channel.~~

12. To ensure that the development of the proposed commercial and recreational area adjacent to Paradise Marsh ~~west of the SD&AE railroad~~ is of the highest aesthetic quality, the City shall require that the development of the site shall be in accordance with development standards and requirements to be determined by a Specific Plan for the area. The Specific Plan shall determine appropriate height limits, landscape elements, signage, and view protection and enhancement, consistent with the policies of the Land Use Plan. Vistas shall be provided from public roadways and public open space areas to Paradise Marsh and the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel. Height limits shall be established as determined necessary to provide for focal points in key activity areas.

32. To ensure that the new road to provide access to the proposed recreation area adjacent to Paradise Marsh is of high visual quality, its design shall implement and incorporate the General Plan policy proposing the construction of landscaped entryways ~~from~~ Landscaped entryway improvements for 24th Street Bay Marina Drive. ~~would be especially appropriate.~~

~~4. A Specific Plan shall be prepared to identify design improvements to enhance the visual identity of the Paradise Marsh area, provide a visual linkage between recreational uses near the Sweetwater River Channel and tourist commercial uses west of the Marsh and at 24th Street Bay Marina Drive, and appropriate visual separation or buffering of industrial uses to the west and freeway to the east. The design improvements identified in the Specific Plan shall include landscape elements, signing, and architectural elements or criteria, such as height, scale, bulk, color and building materials. Protection or creation of vistas should also be identified in the Specific Plan.~~

345. To ensure that new development throughout the Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ is visually appropriate, projects shall be reviewed for conformance to City standards for building aesthetics and materials, height, signing and landscaping. See Appendix IV.

45. All visual resource mitigation measures, as identified in the National City Bayfront Projects and Plan Amendments Environmental Impact Report applicable to the City, shall be incorporated into all projects located in the in the City's Coastal Zone and Balanced Plan area. Project design shall also be reviewed with regard to other appropriate visual elements identified throughout the development review process.

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

1. In the event that different industrial land uses are competing for available industrial land, priority shall be given to marine related industrial uses

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS

1. Review of new development for sea level rise, and potential flooding ~~potential flood~~, seismic, and geologic hazards shall determine necessary improvements to minimize risk during the site plan review process, or during any applicable, discretionary review process.

2. Geotechnical and sea level rise reports shall be required for new development in areas subject to flooding and geologic hazards. ~~Geotechnical reports shall be required for new development in areas subject to geologic hazard.~~

3. Waivers of liability shall be required from applicants for Coastal Development Permits in areas of sea level rise and geologic hazards.
4. Prior to the development of the parcels on both sides of the existing Sweetwater River Channel, south of 35th Street, a sea level rise and flood hazard study shall be conducted, based upon design criteria anticipating the potential flood hazard remaining after the construction of the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel or from a 100-year flood, whichever is applicable at the time of development. ~~Only development consistent with the recommendations of the study shall be approved for the area.~~ Specific development policies shall be provided in the Implementation Plan. The policies shall stress provision of adequate setbacks to minimize the amount of fill necessary for flood protection, and no armoring or channelization of the existing river channel for flood protection shall be allowed.

LAND USE PLAN SUMMARY

COMMERCIAL/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE

The National City Bayfront should be designated for tourist commercial, recreational and open space use. Using the SD&AE railroad spur as a point of demarcation, the area located to the east, including Paradise Marsh and surrounding lands, would be suitable for passive recreational and open space uses only. Areas to the north of the marsh and west of the marsh and railroad spur should be designated for tourist commercial and recreational uses.

The total land area within National City which is developable, west of the ~~railroad spur~~ Bayshore Bikeway and SDG&E right-of-way, and designated for tourist commercial and recreational open space use is approximately 23 acres, ~~and is owned by the Santa Fe Land Improvement Company and Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe Railway. However, additional vacant land totaling 15 acres, under the jurisdiction of the Port District, lies adjacent to the west, southeast of 32nd Street and Tidelands Avenue, and east and north of the boat launch facilities. The Port's Master Plan designates the area for commercial recreation and Public Park. It is important to emphasize that the development of this area should be closely coordinated with the Port during the project planning phase. Also, to ensure a well integrated and quality development, the concurrent development of both the National City parcel and the Port District parcel should be encouraged.~~

Although the tourist visitor commercial designation covers a broad range of uses, one or two anchor uses should be sought for the area. ~~Particularly appropriate for the area would be an overnight use and a boating related use, the two activities with the greatest unmet demand. Desirable forms of overnight use include hotel or motel facilities, and/or a recreational vehicle park/campground complex. Such uses, in a close proximity to the Port's launching ramp and the Army Corps' proposed recreational features, would have apparent possibilities. The Balanced Plan includes the expansion of Pepper Park, a new dry boat storage, and additional boat piers, RV park, and future hotels all within the Port District jurisdiction. Development of a marina on adjacent Port District property may also be appropriate. With the proposed extension of the channel for the flood control project, increased boat usage will become even more desirable. Other boating related facilities that would be appropriate include a dry storage area and a sales/service establishment. Again, available space for boat storage near San Diego Bay is at a premium and the situation is only going to become tighter. A stacked or tiered dry storage area, similar to that at Perez Cove on Mission Bay, would be a desirable use at the National City location. With an overnight use and~~

~~boating use serving as anchors, it can be expected that other related uses such as eating establishments and specialty shops will be attracted to the area.~~

The area to the north of the Paradise Marsh, east of the SD&AE railroad right-of-way and south of ~~24th Street~~ Bay Marina Drive is also designated for tourist commercial use. As part of the Balanced Plan, a transition area from the working waterfront to expanded recreational facilities will provide a gateway to the National City Bayfront.

~~A transition from existing industrial uses to future commercial is appropriate to provide a gateway to the Bayfront and Port area.~~

INDUSTRIAL

National City's portion of the ~~Coastal Zone~~ coastal zone includes two separate areas that are characterized by industrial development. The most heavily industrialized area is located west of I-5. It is almost entirely developed with medium industrial uses, and is designated "Medium ~~Industrial~~ Manufacturing" and "Heavy ~~Industrial~~ Manufacturing" in the General Plan. Truck access in this planning area is from I-5 and includes limited rail access. The other industrial area within National City's eCoastal zZone is the Sweetwater industrial area which is 160 acres in size and located east of I-5. The area is well served by truck access via I-5, rail access, and ship access through Port District lands. The other industrial area within National City's coastal zone is the Sweetwater industrial area which is 160 acres in size and located east of I-5. The entire central portion of this area has been reserved for the joint Army Corps/CALTRANS Sweetwater River flood control channel and Highway 54 project and is designated as open space. The areas to the north and south are virtually all developed with light industrial use and some commercial areas fronting on National City Boulevard. As in the General Plan, both areas are designated for industrial and commercial use in the Land Use Plan. As further described in the Balanced Plan section of the LCP, the City proposes to rezone Parcels 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 from MM (Medium ~~Industrial~~ Manufacturing) to CT (Tourist Commercial), which could allow these parcels to be developed with hotel, restaurant, retail, and/or some combination of tourist-/visitor-serving commercial uses.

National City's ~~coastal zone~~ Coastal Zone is largely characterized by industrial development, much of which is related to the proximity of the ~~Port's operations~~ container terminal and wharfage. Due, in large part to the attraction of the marine terminal, virtually all of the industrial zoned land in National City's Bayfront has been developed. ~~New industrial development and redevelopment will occur as older residential uses are eliminated; however, the assemblage of parcels large enough to accommodate and attract major industrial uses will be difficult. Taken together with the fact that National City has no direct bay frontage, the imposition of a policy giving preference only to marine related industrial use could be unnecessarily burdensome.~~

~~In most situations, the free market should adequately handle the allocation of available industrial land to marine related industrial uses. The reason being that industrial uses that benefit from a coastal oriented location will compete more effectively for such parcels. However, a land use policy which would allow the free market to operate with the minimum regulatory intervention, and would also achieve consistency with the objectives of the Coastal Act for coastal dependent industrial activity would be advisable. Such a policy would only be applicable in situations where different industrial uses are competing for land, and in such instances would assign priority to marine related industry.~~

OPEN SPACE/WETLAND PRESERVE

The wetlands of the Paradise Creek Marsh, including salt marsh, freshwater marsh, salt-pan, [Sweetwater eChannel](#), and mudflat habitats, are valuable and sensitive biological resources, and shall be preserved. To that end, the plan designation for these areas is OPEN SPACE/WETLAND PRESERVE.

The value of the Paradise Marsh includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Through photosynthesis of algal species, the marsh provides an oxygen supply for the waters of San Diego Bay, necessary for survival of fish species and natural pollution impact abatement.
- Flushing of plant and animal detritus from the marsh provides organic matter important for food chains in the bay.
- The marsh acts as a nursery for at least nine fish species, including several important sport fish species.
- The wetland habitats are extremely important wildlife areas, supporting a very high diversity of bird species. These include a number of sensitive species, i.e., Belding's Savannah Sparrow, [California Least Tern](#) and ~~potentially~~ the Light-footed Clapper Rail. The wetlands also are an important stop-over point for migratory species along the Pacific Flyway.

Potential uses for wetlands are:

- ~~Basic~~ [Scientific research, nature study, or and](#) educational uses;
- Passive recreation (i.e., bird watching);
- A possible source for applied research into the use of marsh species to introduce salt-tolerant genes into economically important plants (in agriculture), through selective cross-breeding.

One technique of preserving wetlands commonly referred to is the provision of a buffer area between the wetland and development. The ~~Coastal Commission state and federal resource agencies~~ generally recommends that development be set back 100 feet from the landward edge of a wetland. The 100-foot wide buffer may be increased or decreased in consultation with the ~~Department of Fish and Game resource agencies~~. The purpose of the 100-foot buffer is to ensure that the type and scale of development will not significantly degrade the adjacent habitat area. ~~The distinction must be made, however, that the application of the 100-foot buffer assumes that the area surrounding the wetland is substantially undeveloped.~~ With respect to Paradise marsh, the wetland is almost entirely surrounded by existing industrial development and transportation corridors including lumber storage yards, slaughter houses, steel fabricating plant, I-5, and rights-of-way for the AT&SF and SD&AE Railroads. ~~In most locations, this existing development lies immediately adjacent to the landward edge of the wetlands. In such situations, the Commission's guidelines recommend that new development observe an appropriate setback based on unique characteristics of the property. It should also be noted that the marsh areas recommended and required for acquisition as mitigation for the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel/Route 54 freeway project were determined to include necessary buffers.~~

The essential measure necessary to guarantee the preservation of Paradise Marsh is the maintenance of tidal flushing. As long as the marsh is kept open to tidal flushing and free from industrial and urban run-off, the existing water quality will be maintained at acceptable levels. and as long as the major input of freshwater continues to be runoff from the upstream areas rather than industrial discharge, the existing water quality will be maintained at acceptable levels. Other management alternatives, such as implementing increased street sweeping programs or sediment control measures in selected subbasins, do not appear to be necessary on the basis of the data and results presently available.

CHAPTER 1

THE COASTAL ACT

HISTORY

Traditionally, the regulation of land use along California's coastline has been by local government pursuant to State Planning and Zoning Law. This enabling legislation mandates local governments to prepare general plans and zoning to ensure orderly physical growth and development within their jurisdictions as well as the protection of public health, safety and welfare.

However, local control over land use in the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ was significantly modified with the passage of the California Coastal Zone Conservation Act by the voters of California in November, 1972. In approving Proposition 20, the people of California declared that;

“The permanent protection of the remaining natural and scenic resources of the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ is a paramount concern to present and future residents of the state and nation”, and

“It is the policy of the state to preserve, protect, and where possible, to restore the resources of the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ for enjoyment of the current and succeeding generations.”

Proposition 20 set forth a distinct role for the State in coastal land use matters, and created the California Coastal Zone Conservation Commission. The mandated mission of the Coastal Commission was to prepare a statewide comprehensive plan for the “orderly, long-range conservation and the management of the coast”, and to regulate development while the plan was being prepared. Preparation of the Coastal Plan commenced in 1973, and it was submitted to the state legislature in December, 1975. Based upon the Coastal Plan and the Commission's experience of the preceding years, the California legislature passed the California Coastal Act in August, 1976.

GOALS AND POLICIES

The Coastal Act of 1976 in its opening section, Section 30001, contains the following finding and declaration of the state legislature:

- (a) That the California Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ is a distinct and valuable resource of vital and enduring interest to all the people and exists as a delicately balanced~~balance~~ ecosystem.
- (b) That the permanent protection of the state's natural and scenic resources is a paramount concern to present and future residents of the state and nation.
- (c) That to promote the public safety, health, and welfare and to protect public and private property, wildlife, marine fisheries, and other ocean resources, and the

natural environment, it is necessary to protect the ecological balance of the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ and prevent its deterioration and destruction.

- (d) That existing developed uses, and future developments that are carefully planned and developed consistent with the policies of this division, are essential to the economic and social well-being of the people of this state and especially to working persons employed within the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~. (Amended by Cal. Stats. 1979 Ch. 1090)

The basic goals of the legislature for the Coastal Zone are defined in Section 30001.5 of the Coastal Act:

- (a) Protect, maintain, and where feasible, enhance and restore the overall quality of the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ environment and its natural and man-made resources.
- (b) Assure orderly, balanced utilization and conservation of Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ resources taking into account the social and economic needs of the people of the state.
- (c) Maximize public access to and along the coast and maximize public recreational opportunities in the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~ consistent with sound resource conservation principles and constitutionally protected rights of private property owners.
- (d) Assure priority for coastal-dependent and coastal related development over other development on the coast. (Amended by Cal. Stats. 1979, Ch. 1090)
- (e) Encourage state and local initiatives and cooperation in preparing procedures to implement coordinated planning and development of mutually beneficial uses, including educational uses, in the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~.

The heart of the Coastal Act is found in Chapter 3, Coastal Resources Planning and Management Policies. These policies constitute the standards that local plans must meet in order to be certified by the State as well as the yardstick for evaluating proposed developments within the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~. Topics covered by coastal policies include: beach access, recreation, marine environment, environmentally sensitive habitat areas, agriculture, visual resources, and coastal dependent and industrial development. In essence, these policies are the rules for future growth and development in the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~.

IMPLEMENTATION

The implementation of the Coastal Act is predicated upon the involvement of local government. Section 3004 of the Act declares that “to achieve maximum responsiveness to local conditions, accountability, and public accessibility, it is necessary to rely heavily on local government and local land use planning procedures and enforcement.” To this end, the Act directs each local government within the Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~, wholly or partly, to prepare a Local Coastal

Program (LCP). An LCP consist of a local government’s land use plans, zoning ordinances, zoning district maps, and implementing actions which implement the provisions and policies of the Coastal Act at the local level. (30108.6).

The basis of the LCP is the land use plan. According to the Coastal Act, the land use plan means the “relevant portions of a local government’s general plan, or local coastal element, which are sufficiently detailed to indicate the kinds, location, and intensity of land uses, the applicable resource protection and development policies and, where necessary, a listing of implementing actions.” (32108.5) The zoning ordinances and district maps are the legal tools for implementing the land use plan. The Coastal Act also requires each LCP to “contain a specific public access component to assure that maximum access to the coast and public recreation areas is provided.”

During preparation of a Local Coastal Program, local governments should coordinate planning with affected local, regional, state, and federal agencies, and provide the maximum opportunity for public participation. Under the Coastal Act, the responsibility for ensuring meaningful public participation rests with both the Coastal commission and local government; however, the local government retains the primary responsibility for involving the public in the actual planning process.

After the LCP has been reviewed and approved by the local government, it is then submitted to the State Coastal Commission for review. In certifying the land use plan, the Commission must find that the plan conforms to Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act, and contains the required public access component. The zoning and implementing ordinances are then reviewed to determine conformance with the approved land use plan. Once the land use plan and implementing ordinances have been certified, the review authority for new development within the Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ will revert from the Coastal Commission to local government. The local government in issuing coastal development permits must then make the finding that the development is in conformity with the approved LCP.

The State Commission will continue to exercise permit jurisdiction over certain kinds of developments (i.e., development in state tidelands). The State Commission will also review amendments to LCPs, and continue to hear permit appeals. However, only certain kinds of developments can be appealed after a local government’s LCP has been certified; these include:

- (1) Developments approved by the local government between the sea and the first public road paralleling the sea or within 300 feet of the inland extent of any beach or of the mean high tideline of the sea where there is no beach, whichever is the greater distance.
- (2) Developments approved by the local government not included within paragraph (1) of this subdivision located on tidelands, submerged lands, public trust lands, within 100 feet of any wetland, estuary, stream, or within 300 feet of the top of the seaward face, of any coastal bluff.
- (3) Any development which constitutes a major public works project or major energy facility. The phrase “major public works project or major energy facility” as used in Public Resources Code Section 30603(a)(5) shall mean any proposed public works project, as

defined by Section 13012 of the Coastal Commission Regulations (Title 14, California Administrative Code, Division 5.5) or energy facility, as defined by Public Resources Code Section 30107.

CHAPTER II

NATIONAL CITY

HISTORY

The City of National City is located ~~long~~along the eastern shores of San Diego Bay within the urbanized South Bay area of the San Diego Region. The City of San Diego's central business district lies approximately five miles to the north. It is bordered by the City of San Diego to the north and east, and by Chula Vista to the south. The National City incorporated area includes approximately 8.65 square miles. The population of National City is currently 55,408 (January 1, 1986 est.).

National City is a general law city, incorporated in 1887, and is the second oldest city in San Diego County. Founded in 1868 by Frank Kimball as a competitor to Alonzo Horton's new San Diego venture, it was planned to be a major terminus for the railroads then opening lines to the West Coast. Although the railroads chose other cities for their terminals, the agriculture industry flourished. Population grew slowly until the great boom of the 1940's, when the population more than doubled in ten years. This was due to the large number of servicemen brought to the area during World War II. National City's population has fluctuated greatly since then, reflecting changes in the number of military personnel on base. However, household population has continued to increase in a more stable, steady manner, as has the general industrial base.

In modern times, the City's community members are subject to one the highest levels of toxic air pollution in the state stemming from the near-by Port operations and vehicular traveling along I-5. Prior to the Balanced Plan, the area had few recreational amenities and access to the bay was severely limited due to the industrialized waterfront. While direct access to the bay continues to be problematic the development of the marina, expansion of Pepper Park, and addition of new restaurants, and new hotels havewill help-helped increase bayfront usage by local and regional visitors. The 2022 Balanced Plan's intent is to allow for the continued use and expansion of the working waterfront, while at the same time providing for new lower cost visitor serving uses for the community and region. The efforts to reduce toxic emissions from Port District industrial uses remains a paramount goal and priority for the City.

COASTAL ZONE

The ~~C~~oastal ~~Z~~zone of National City includes all the area west of I-5, and a small area east of I-5 south of 30th Street. However, the Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ is controlled by three separate governmental agencies: the San Diego Unified Port District, the United States Navy, and the City of National City. The ~~coastal zone area over which National City retains~~City's retained jurisdiction totals approximately ~~575-561~~ acres, and is bounded by the Navy Lands to the north, the Chula Vista Bayfront to the south, and the Port District jurisdiction to the west.

RELATED COASTAL PLANS

Plans adopted by the San Diego Unified Port District and the City of Chula Vista designate land uses adjacent to National City's coastal jurisdiction. They also designate ~~transportation facilities~~uses which extend ~~into or through~~near National City's jurisdiction. National City's coastal land use designations and proposed circulation improvements should be based upon consideration of the plans of the adjacent jurisdictions to the extent that compatible uses ~~can be developed~~.are developed. ~~National City's policies, however, need not be decided to implement plans of adjacent jurisdictions.~~

The Port District has jurisdiction ~~approximately 250~~280 acres of land area.~~—over all of the state tidelands bayward of the adjudicated mean high tide line, approximately 250 acres of land area.~~ The Port jurisdiction also includes an isolated parcel of tidelands which is located in the salt flats south of Chula Vista and is connected to National City by a 300-foot wide corridor through San Diego Bay.- The Port District submitted its Master Plan to the Coastal Commission, and it was conditionally-~~approved~~ in October, 1980. The Port District's plan designated land areas west of the mean high tide line primarily for industrial use. It designates areas south of 32nd Street and generally east of Tidelands Avenue for commercial recreation and for park uses along the Sweetwater Channel, with vista area, public fishing, and bridge, boat launching ramp, public access and comfort station. The park designation reflects existing uses with expansion to the east. The channel itself is designated for berthing and navigation corridor up to the boat launching facilities, with open bay further east. National City's land use designations for adjacent area are complementary to the Port's.

As described in the Balanced Plan section of this LCP, the Balanced Plan proposes the removal of approximately 12.4 acres within the Balanced Plan area, located mostly on the current day GB Capital leasehold east of the mean high tide line and on land owned in fee by the District, from the City's General Plan, LCP, ~~and~~LCP, and LUC to reflect changes in land use and jurisdictional authority. This change will clarify the jurisdictional boundary between the City and the District and will be reflected on all City zoning and General Plan ~~m~~Maps. These lands are not currently regulated by the PMP and this jurisdictional amendment will ensure consistency with the California Coastal Act, Public Trust Doctrine, and Act. The District will amend its PMP map accordingly.

~~The Port District is studying the feasibility of marina development in the area north of the Sweetwater Channel, adjacent to National City coastal jurisdiction. Marina development would complement National City's plans for tourist commercial and recreational use in the area.~~

The Port's plan also provides for the extension of off-ramps from I-5 and Route 54 through the National City Bayfront to 32nd Street. However, the off-ramps are not included in current State freeway plans. The Port's plan also indicates the need to connect Tidelands Avenue from National City to Chula Vista, via bridge over the channel. However, Chula Vista's Local Coastal Program locates a bridge in the vicinity of the SD&AE railroad right-of-way, generally in line with the proposed extension of Harrison Avenue.

Chula Vista's land use plan, approved by the State Coastal Commission in 1984 and subsequently amended, designates 21 acres across the channel from National City for marina related uses, which includes commercial uses related to waterfront activities, ship repair services and boat marinas or haul out areas. Chula Vista's Bayfront Specific Plan permits dredging for a small marina for 200 boats just west of the proposed bridge to cross the channel. The Port District also has jurisdiction over lands across the channel from National City west of area included in Chula Vista's Local Coastal Program. The Port District lands in Chula Vista across the channel from National City are designated for marine sales and services and, at the most westerly location, expansion reserve.

Under the jurisdiction of the United States Navy is approximately 300 acres and 8,300 lineal feet of bay frontage. Federal lands are under the jurisdiction of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act, which states that military lands shall comply with coastal planning to the extent that national security is not imperiled.

COASTAL ZONE SUBAREAS

National City's Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ can be divided into four districts: the industrial area west of I-5 (Subarea I), the Paradise Marsh wetlands area (Subarea II), the Sweetwater industrial area east of I-5 and south of 30th Street (Subarea III), and the I-5 freeway and San Diego Trolley rights-of-way (Subarea IV).

SUBAREA I

The industrial area west of I-5 contains approximately 210 acres and is almost entirely developed with light and medium industrial uses. The Combined General Plan/Zoning Map designates the area as primarily "MM", Medium Manufacturing with a small portion of "MH", Heavy Manufacturing. Approximately 6.4 acres located north of the marsh, east of the SD&AE railroad right-of-way, and south of ~~24th Street~~ Bay Marina Drive are designated for tourist commercial use, but contain industrial uses (meat packing). The area provides the entryway to National City's Bayfront. The area is well served by truck access via I-5, rail access, and ship access through the Port District. There are a number of older residential uses located in this area, which would be considered non-conforming with the General Plan and zoning designation of industrial.

SUBAREA II

The Paradise Marsh area contains approximately 75 acres and consists primarily of wetlands. The marsh was originally formed by Paradise Creek, which entered the area from the northeast and flowed across what is now the Port District property to the Bay. The filling of the Port District property destroyed the natural creek bed. The creek now runs due south in a man-made channel to the Sweetwater River and hence into the Bay. This channel allows limited tidal action to enter the marsh.

Upstream of the marsh, outside of the coastal area, Paradise Creek has also been altered. The drainage area has been reduced due to urban development, and the creek is entirely contained in man-made drainage facilities. It enters the marsh through culverts under I-5.

National City's General Plan designated this area as Open Space Reserve for preservation of open space wetlands and passive recreational use, and as Tourist Commercial, with the recognition that this area is the only potential area for waterfront recreational facilities.

SUBAREA III

The Sweetwater industrial area contains approximately 160 acres. The entire central portion of this area has been reserved for the Route 54/Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel Project.


This project combines the construction of State Highway Route 54 from I-805 to I-5 with the construction of a flood control channel from approximately 600 ft. west of Plaza Bonita Road, immediately upstream of I-805, to San Diego Bay. The flood control channel would generally occupy the area between eastbound and westbound lanes of Route 54. In addition, the project proposes the acquisition of a total of 188 acres of marshlands in both the Sweetwater and Paradise Marshes. This acquisition includes 44 acres as compensation for the impacts of the project and 144 acres for preservation of habitat for endangered species.

The project also includes a recreation element consisting of bicycle, equestrian, and pedestrian trails, rest and staging areas, and shoreline access and recreational features (to be located in Subarea II).

The remaining portions of the area are either designated for and/or partially developed with light industrial uses and some commercial areas fronting on National City Boulevard.

SUBAREA IV

The Interstate 5 Freeway and ~~San Diego Trolley System~~ (MTSDB) ~~right-of-ways~~rights-of-way include approximately 130 acres. The two regional transportation facilities are separated from discussion of the other subareas for two reasons. First, the two facilities are existing and under the jurisdiction of the State Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and Metropolitan Transit ~~Development Board~~System (MTDB/MTS). Second, the facilities and right-of-ways provide existing access and are designated as Open Space by the Combined General Plan/Zoning Map. Other than landscaping and transportation related improvements, no development in the subarea is anticipated.



National City Subareas

Figure 6

CHAPTER III
PUBLIC ACCESS
(PUBLIC ACCESS COMPONENT)

COASTAL ACT POLICIES

Sections 30210, 30211, 30212 and 30214 require that public access and recreational opportunities be provided for all the people; that development not interfere with the public's right of access; that new development provide public access to the shoreline; and that public access be managed to protect fragile resources and property rights.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The United States Navy controls approximately two-thirds of National City's Bayfront, through which public access is expressly prohibited. The remainder of the Bayfront is under the jurisdiction of the Unified Port District, and is developed predominantly with industrial uses. However, the Port has developed a launching ramp and an adjacent public recreation area which accommodates public access to the shoreline - both pedestrian and boating. In addition, it should be pointed out that considerable off-road vehicle activity has taken place in the area. ORV access occurs through Port lands and overlaps into National City's jurisdiction. Because of numerous problems, including vandalism, violence and accidents, the Port has erected a fence to discourage such use.

The only opportunity for public access within the limits of the City is Paradise Marsh and its surrounding lands. However, there is presently no public access to nor public use of this area. Although the Paradise Marsh is subject to limited tidal flow, it is not public tidelands and is not in public ownership. The northern portion of Paradise Marsh west of I-5 will be acquired by the federal government as a condition of approval of the Sweetwater River Flood Control project. The southern portion west of I-5 is Caltrans right-of-way.

EXISTING PLANNING AND ZONING

National City's combined General Plan/Zoning Map designates the Paradise Marsh area as Open Space Reserve (OSR) and Tourist Commercial (CT). The Open Space Reserve designation will preserve wetland areas and provide for passive recreational use, i.e. nature study. The Tourist Commercial designation is established for the provision of services, goods and accommodations for visitors to the area.

~~The City of National City has historically recognized the Paradise Marsh area as the only potential area for waterfront recreational facilities, and thus public shoreline access.~~

The General Plan contains several policy statements which support the concept of increased public access to and use of National City's Bayfront. These policies speak to supporting the Bay-Route Bikeway, Sweetwater Regional Park Connection, and improved transit to the area.

Other planning which would affect the National City Coastal Zone is the Highway 54/Sweetwater flood control project. CALTRANS and Army Corps of Engineers are lead agencies for the project. The recreational aspects of the flood control channel will be discussed in more detail in the Recreation section. With respect to access, the proposed Highway 54 project will improve access to National City's Bayfront. A direct connection from Route 54 and I-5 to the 32nd Street area should be encouraged to further improve access.

ANALYSIS

DEMAND

The subject of demand will be explored more thoroughly in the next section dealing with Recreation. However, it should be pointed out that the demand for coastal recreation, and therefore public access to coastal areas, is increasing at a very fast rate. CPO, in their Coastal Access Study for the San Diego Region, estimated that the demand for coastal recreation will increase by 55% over the next 20 years.

TRAVEL MODE

The CPO study contains other revealing information as to how individuals actually travel to coastal areas. According to their survey, 80% of all coastal trips are by private vehicle (primarily the automobile), 11% by walking, 6% by bicycle, and 4% by transit. Because of the extremely high incidence of automobile usage shown in the survey, the factors of traffic congestion and parking availability become crucial to shoreline access. In examining the National City situation, no problem presently exists with respect to access by automobile. Information available through both National City and the Port District indicates that traffic counts and projections are well below designed capacities on Tidelands Avenue and [24th Street Bay Marina Drive](#). However, factors other than traffic counts must be considered in evaluating vehicular access to the recreational areas, existing and potential, along National City's Bayfront. Several factors which could result in definite conflict between recreational and industrial use include the type of vehicle, the frequency of railroad and container activity and the effectiveness of the [24th Street Bay Marina Drive](#)/I-5 intersection. With respect to the type of vehicle, large lumber hauling are continuously traveling along and across Tidelands Avenue to service the lumber yards. The Port's container terminal at [24th Street Bay Marina Drive](#) is scheduled for expansion, which will result in similar increases in truck and rail traffic in the area. Finally, the functional design of the [24th Street Bay Marina Drive](#) intersection with I-5 has presented problems with drainage and difficult turning maneuvers for larger vehicles. Fortunately, peak travel times for recreational trips usually do not coincide with trip to work peaks. Although industrial activity along the Port does not follow an 8 to 5 Monday through Friday regimen, no intolerable conflicts between recreational and industrial traffic are foreseen.

In conjunction with the CALTRANS Highway 54 project, a 32nd Street off-ramp that would also serve I-5 was proposed but has been deleted from State freeway plans. This new off-ramp would have provided direct vehicular access into the recreational areas of the Bayfront, bypassing most industrial areas. Also, as a part of this LCP a new access road is proposed to provide more direct access to the Bayfront. This new road is proposed to intersect with [24th Street Bay Marina Drive](#)

between the ~~right-of-ways~~rights-of-way for the AT&SF and SD&AE railroads, and connect with 32nd Street. Although the new road would still be dependent upon the ~~24th Street~~ Bay Marina Drive /I-5 intersection, it would bypass the majority of the industrial areas and would improve both ingress and egress to the proposed recreational area.

Parking capacity is, of course, a prime determinant in assessing recreational access. Although on peak summer weekends the parking lot for the Port's launching ramp and park sometimes overflows, availability of parking in the area is not considered critical. On-street parking is abundant in the area, and even with the unauthorized ORV activity in the area, parking has been available. As the area develops with more formalized and permanent uses, care must be taken that adequate parking is provided.

Recent developments in the realm of public transit and bicycle travel have some potential for improving recreational access to National City's Bayfront through travel modes other than the automobile. Those developments are the Metropolitan Transit Development Board's "San Diego Trolley" along the main line of the old SD&AE railroad right-of-way, and the Bay Route~~Bayshore~~ Bikeway. The MTDB-MTS trolley incorporates a station (24th Street) at 24th Street~~Mile of Cars Way and Wilson Avenue~~. The 24th Street station is used for commuter traffic. However, presuming that the National City Bayfront will become a visitor destination, the proximity of the 24th Street station would be of potential benefit. It is within short walking distance from the designated tourist commercial area north of Paradise Marsh on the south side of 24th Street~~Bay Marina Drive~~Bay Marina Drive. However, pedestrian and bicycle facilities underneath the I-5 freeway could be improved to increase separation from traffic and the safety and comfort of users. While the station location may be beyond comfortable walking range for some users from potential recreation areas at the Sweetwater River channel, other intermediate modes such as bicycles or jitneys may be feasible. The 24th Street station also connects with routes for National City Transit.

The Bay Route~~Bayshore~~ Bikeway presents another mode of travel which has positive potential for National City.⁵ In its original form, the bikeway was to have passed right by Paradise Marsh and its adjacent lands along the extension of Tidelands Avenue across Sweetwater Marsh. The Coastal Commission, in separate actions, eliminated the extension of Tidelands Avenue across Sweetwater Marsh, and approved an alternative route for the bikeway that would take it up 24th Street~~Bay Marina Drive~~ to National City Boulevard. Although the original route would have been much more conducive for public access to the National City area, the current routing still retains access potential. The extension of a secondary route to the Port's launching ramp and Paradise Marsh complex would be entirely appropriate and consistent with both National City's General Plan and the Port Master Plan.

~~Because a direct connection with Chula Vista Bayfront would be preferable to the National City Boulevard routing now approved for the Bay Route Bikeway, efforts to provide a direct connection should not be abandoned. One alternative alignment which would be consistent with the Coastal Commission goal of preserving Sweetwater Marsh would be to utilize the abandoned Coronado Beach line of the SD&AE railroad which already crosses the marsh on trestles. The SD&AE right-of-way is now owned by MTDB; however, the fixed rail transit system will be using the mainline which is east of I-5. Providing that appropriate agreements can be reached with MTDB to use the old branch line for bikeway purposes, recreation and commuter bicycle access in the area will be~~

~~greatly improved. The Regional Transportation Plan adopted by the San Diego Association of Governments still designates the future Bay Route Bikeway crossing the Sweetwater River west of I-5.~~

~~The City of Chula Vista Local Coastal Program designates a roadway crossing with bicycle lanes over the Sweetwater River channel, along the alignment of the SD&AE railroad right of way. A bicycle lane crossing should be encouraged independent of decisions to be reached on the potential roadway crossing.~~

~~The roadway crossing proposed by Chula Vista between National City and Chula Vista Bayfront areas requires further study. Proposed policies do not require its construction. However, public access policy 8 requires a new roadway extension in the area westerly of Paradise Marsh (Harrison Avenue extension). If found desirable, it could extend to a bridge. An amendment could be pursued to add the bridge to the Land Use Plan. Proposed recreation policy 3 requires that a Specific Plan address traffic circulation and roadway improvements, in conjunction with development plans for the tourist commercial area west of Paradise Marsh.~~

~~The trails systems, (equestrian, bicycle and pedestrian), proposed in conjunction with the County's Sweetwater Regional Park and the Army Corps Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel, are other access potentials that should be actively encouraged. These trails are of particular importance since they would provide direct linkage between the Bayfront and inland areas.~~

LOCATION

As previously discussed, the only area that has potential for public shoreline access within National City's corporate boundaries is Paradise Marsh and its surrounding lands. The reason for this is simply because it is the only area within National City's jurisdiction that has a shoreline.

It should be noted, however, that provisions are made for public access within the immediate area. The Port District's boat launching ramp and recreational area is located directly adjacent to National City's jurisdictional limit, and provides shoreline access for the boating enthusiast, the fisherman, and the general recreationist. Whereas the Port has made a concerted effort to accommodate public access and use within its jurisdiction, the U.S. Navy has not- due to security concern for the naval bases, which is- ~~When considering the security requirements of naval operations, the prohibition of the public for recreational use is appropriate and~~ consistent with the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act.

When assessing the locational needs of public access, care should be taken to differentiate between vertical and lateral access. Vertical meaning access to the shoreline, and lateral meaning access along the shoreline. Rather than reciting all the information contained in the Coastal Commission's Interpretive Guidelines for access, suffice it to say that both forms of access are needed in National City.

REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT

As established in the General Plan and in the Coastal Act, it is a desired goal to provide public shoreline access within the city limits of National City. Thus far, the potential location for such access and the alternative travel modes to reach it, have been discussed. What will now be examined are the various methods by which the public access can be protected and provided in accordance with City objectives and the Coastal Act.

~~The first method to be investigated would be through public action. Since the Paradise Marsh and its adjacent lands are privately held (Santa Fe Land Company), the feasibility of public action (acquisition, eminent domain) is questionable because of cost and time involved. However, public action for acquisition and development should be considered as an option to provide public facilities and attract appropriate, private development.~~

The most economic method for the local government would be the dedication of public accessways at the time of development; this action is specifically allowed by the Coastal Act (Section 30212). Essentially, there are three forms such dedications can take: deed restriction, grant of fee interest, or grant of an easement. Taking into account the development potential of the area adjacent to Paradise Marsh, the most appropriate method of providing access would be through deed restrictions. With a deed restriction, no interest in the land proposed for access is granted and the land owner retains responsibility for the access way. Deed restrictions are especially suited for commercial/recreational developments, since the security needs of the facility and maintenance of the accessways are most effectively handled by the owner/operator of the development. Other methods would be appropriate for obtaining public roadway and park areas. The provision of public access concurrent with private development is not envisioned as a problem since the type and form of development envisioned would be predicated upon public use and enjoyment.

Another consideration in the provision of public access, especially in areas adjacent to wetlands, is that the resource areas are protected from overuse. Achieving consistency with this section of the Coastal Act (30210) is really a matter of design, [habitat buffers](#), and location of the access way. Lateral accessways, especially along the shoreline of Paradise Marsh, should be set back a sufficient distance to ensure protection of the marsh. ~~However, closer proximity to the marsh can be achieved through the utilization of controlled access points.~~ Overall, access ways should be provided and identified in accordance with the coastal access standards adopted by the Coastal Commission and Coastal Conservancy.

~~A final point on public access has to do with prescriptive rights. In brief, prescriptive rights deals with the legal doctrine of implied dedication, which grants the right of pass and repass over private property to the public if they have not been restricted from passing over that property for a specified number of years. Prescriptive rights may be considered where appropriate, especially, if development does not occur in the near future and if access is not acquired as a condition of development. However, there are no areas in the National City coastal zone where prescriptive rights have been determined to exist. New development, however, should be reviewed to determine that it will not interfere with desirable public access that may be established on or across private property. In addition, it would be in the public interest to provide for controlled access with appropriately designed development, whether public or private.~~

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

- ~~1. New public shoreline accessways shall be designated to and along Paradise Marsh and the Sweetwater River Channel as generally shown in Figure No. 4.~~
- ~~2. Public accessways as designated in Condition Number One shall be provided in conjunction with new development and protected through public access easements or other suitable means of conveyance.~~
1. In order to provide new public shoreline accessways, the City will seek the assistance of the Coastal Conservancy, or other appropriate agency, to acquire, plan, and finance public shoreline access.
2. The precise location, design and identification of public accessways shall be consistent, to the maximum degree feasible, with the coastal access standards prepared jointly by the Coastal Commission, resource agencies, and the Coastal Conservancy.
3. As indicated in the General Plan, it is the City's policy that the Bayshore Bikeway continue its development south of Bay Marina Drive
- ~~2.4. Alternative modes of access to National City's Bayfront shall be actively encouraged. Specifically, the trail systems proposed as a part of the Army Corps Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel project, which would provide linkage from National City's Bayfront to inland areas, are supported.~~
- 3.5. All new development shall incorporate adequate on-site parking to accommodate the parking demand generated. The number of required parking spaces for new development shall be determined during the implementation phase of the Local Coastal Program, but shall be, at a minimum, consistent with the schedule of parking requirements of the Municipal Code. (Appendix III).
- 4.6. A new access road located westerly and parallel to the Paradise Marsh would extend southerly from the vicinity of Harrison Avenue at ~~24th Street~~ Bay Marina Drive to the National City Boat Launching Facilities and future marine oriented, commercial/and or recreational uses. A buffer shall be provided between the roadway and the marsh. A Specific Plan shall be prepared to identify desirable buffering between the marsh and roadway, located in the upland area west of Paradise Marsh. It should also proposed landscaping or other design elements to provide visual linkage and identity for the Paradise Marsh area and appropriate visual separation from the industrial area to the west and freeway to the east.

New development shall not interfere with desirable public access that may exist or be established by public use on or across private property, i.e., prescriptive rights. Desirable public access shall include access to natural or constructed coastal, recreational resources, except where necessary to

protect fragile coastal resources or public safety, or where adequately provided for in another area. ~~Development projects shall be reviewed to determine evidence of public use.~~

CHAPTER 1V RECREATION

COASTAL ACT POLICIES

Sections 30212.5, 30213, 30220-30223, and 30256(c) require the provision of public and low-cost recreation and visitor-serving facilities, and the protection of coastal water and land areas that are suitable for recreational use. Also, visitor-serving commercial uses designed to enhance public opportunities for coastal recreation are assigned a higher priority than other private residential or general commercial development. ~~As previously discussed, the Balanced Plan creates new low-cost visitor serving amenities for the public; such as a: A dry boat storage facility, RV Park, expanded park spaces, boating finger piers, and improved access to the bay.~~

EXISTING CONDITIONS

As mentioned in the previous section on access, the majority of National City's Bayfront is either under the jurisdiction of the United States Navy or the Unified Port District. In the case of the Navy lands, public access and recreational use is prohibited. The majority of the Port's holdings are developed with large scale industrial marine uses. ~~The only provision for public recreation within the general area is the launching ramp and park provided by the Port. Approximately 7 acres of land area are devoted to this recreational use. The Port Master Plan reports that continued heavy use of the launching ramp and park is anticipated, and that the area is plagued with problems of vandalism and crime. The District has developed recreational opportunities in Pepper Park, the Aquatic Center, and the Pier 32 Marina. While all of these are within the District's jurisdiction, they do offer recreational opportunities and public access to both National City residents and the general public.~~

Also, as referenced in the section on Public Access, unauthorized off-road vehicle activity has been taking place on the undeveloped tidelands located east of the launching ramp and park. The ORV activity has also extended into areas within National City's jurisdiction around the Paradise Marsh, and the "D" Street fill in Chula Vista. Because of surveillance problems and intrusion into sensitive resource areas, the Port District has erected a fence around the perimeter of the area.

~~The only area within National City's portion of the Bayfront that is suitable for recreational use are the lands around Paradise Marsh. These lands are now privately owned and are not developed for any public recreational use. The City of National City has long recognized the potential of the Paradise Marsh area, and has examined this potential in both the General Plan and precise planning documents.~~

EXISTING PLANNING AND ZONING

A discussion of the General Plan designations and policies relative to the recreational potential of the Paradise Marsh is presented in the preceding section on Public Access. Rather than duplicate

that discussion, the primary proposals of the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel plans will be examined.

SWEETWATER RIVER PLANS

ARMY CORPS FLOOD CONTROL CHANNEL

~~The recreation element of the Army Corps of Engineers' Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel Plan calls for bicycle, equestrian and pedestrian trails along the length of the channel on levees that would connect with the National City Bayfront and the County's Sweetwater Regional Park. A bicycle staging area is proposed to be located in the vicinity of the existing boat launching ramp, and would provide easy access to the Bay Route Bikeway. Also proposed are shoreline access and recreational features along the northern shore of the channel in the area easterly of the launching ramp.~~

SAN DIEGO COUNTY – SWEETWATER REGIONAL PARK

~~The purpose of mentioning the County's Sweetwater Regional Park is to highlight the potential of linking three recreational areas together: National City's Bayfront, Army Corps' Flood Control Channel, and the County's Regional Park. Such linkage is especially significant in terms of providing an access to and from inland areas and offering a high quality recreational experience.~~

ANALYSIS

DEMAND

To quote from the California Coastal Plan,

"The California coast provides an almost endless variety of recreational opportunities for people to play, to be refreshed, and to be inspired: wide sandy beaches for cooling off from the heat of the city, rocky headlands for exploring; high bluffs for watching the ever-changing ocean; waters for swimming, boating, surfing, and fishing; and tide pools, sea caves, and coastal wetlands for nature study. In short, the coast is a major provider of recreation important to the quality of life in California."

Even with the many public and commercial recreational opportunities and facilities which exist along the coast, a shortage of facilities persists for almost every popular recreational activity. According to the Coastal Plan, a reason for this situation is that 85% of California's population lives within 30 miles of the ocean. Although the exact demand for specific types of recreational facilities is difficult to project, studies universally indicate a continued high demand for the traditionally popular coastal activities such as fishing, sightseeing, beach and general day use throughout the southern portions of the state.

These same findings are particularly true for the San Diego coast. The CPO Coastal Access Study conservatively estimated that participation in recreational activities at coastal areas will grow by at least 55% over the next 20 years. The study also shows that the activities pursued by the highest

number of participants are sunbathing, walking and swimming. Whereas sunbathing, walking and swimming are the most popular coastal recreational activities, the two activities which probably have the greatest unmet demand are beach camping and boating. San Diego County's Regional Park Implementation Study states that the greatest unmet recreation need is for beach camping, and projected that, in 1980, 10% to 15% of the demand for overnight facilities would be met. With respect to boating, the Port District projected that available slip sites might be exhausted by 1985.

Of particular importance to National City is the fact that there is a pent-up demand for coastal recreation opportunities throughout the state and San Diego. Because the South Bay has comparatively fewer recreational areas than other coastal areas in the San Diego Region, it could be deduced that there is even a larger unmet demand for coastal recreation in the South Bay. Not only is there demand, but the activities which are most popular or in greatest demand are those which are especially suitable to National City's Bayfront.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

When discussing, in specific terms, the recreational development of National City's Bayfront in accordance with Coastal Act policy, the market, traffic usage, access, and other environmental constraints must first be considered.— The Environmental Impact Report of 2022 considered these factors in developing the Balanced Plan development program. The Balanced Plan was a careful balance between maritime-related industrial and the creation of new recreational facilities and uses. the environmental constraints must first be considered. In other words, what areas should be preserved, and what areas should be developed?

As explained in the Marsh Preservation discussion, the preservation of coastal wetland areas is a paramount objective of the Coastal Act. It is the position of both the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the State Department of Fish and Game, that Paradise Creek Marsh is tidal marsh, and is an important and inseparable part of the Sweetwater Marsh complex. Any new recreational uses should be passive in nature. The obvious conclusion, based upon the Coastal Act and the mitigation plans for the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel to acquire the Paradise Marsh, is that development in the marsh for intensive recreation cannot be considered. Both of these Both features are within federal ownership and are protected by existing regulations.

Realistically, restoration will be required to turn Paradise Marsh into a natural attraction. One factor that will have a positive influence is the construction of the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel. Not only should the flood control channel improve water circulation to Paradise Marsh, but as a mitigation it is also proposed that the connection to the marsh be reconditioned. Another possible avenue to follow would be the involvement of the Coastal Conservancy. A similar restoration program is now underway for the San Dieguito Lagoon in Del Mar. Through funding by the Conservancy, a restoration plan for San Dieguito Lagoon was prepared. The Conservancy also funded the preparation of the engineering studies to accomplish the restoration work. Joint efforts with the Bayfront Conservancy Trust should also be considered. Enhancement of the Paradise Marsh could have secondary, beneficial effects to the Chula Vista Bayfront and Nature Interpretive Center.

With respect to the recreational potential of the marsh and its surrounding lands, a passive concept conducive to preservation should be observed. A logical separation between passive recreational uses and more active commercial recreational uses would be the SD&AE spur line that runs to the west of Paradise Marsh. To ensure that the area will be utilized by the public, it is proposed that accessways be sited along the edges of the marsh in a controlled manner. It is also proposed that one or two viewing platforms be allowed adjacent to the wetland area in order to facilitate the observation of the wetland's flora and fauna. Such development would be consistent with Section 30233(e) which allows nature study activities to be located in wetland areas.

Beyond the SD&AE spur line, areas can begin a transition to a more active use. While no active play fields are proposed, landscaped areas that are suitable for picnicking and Frisbee throwing, etc., would be appropriate.

As a design element that would provide separation and a functional element that would provide access, a new road is proposed. As discussed in the Access section, the road would intersect 24th Street between the AT&SF and SD&AE railroad tracks and would run parallel with the tracks to the point at which it would turn or branch to the west and would provide access to 32nd Street and the Boat Launch facilities. This new road would provide better access to the area since it would bypass and eliminate the potential conflict with industrial traffic along Tidelands Avenue and 24th Street. Of equal importance, the road would open the area for recreational development. The road would also improve visual access and identity of the Paradise Marsh area. Specific roadway improvements will be determined by review of development projects. A Specific Plan will need to address traffic circulation and roadway improvements in conjunction with development plans for the tourist commercial area west of Paradise Marsh.

The area located west of the railroad spur, designated for tourist commercial and recreational use, within the jurisdiction of National City is approximately 30 acres, including 23 acres owned by the Santa Fe Land Company, the SD&AE railroad and SDG&E right-of-ways. However, additional vacant land totaling 15 acres, under the jurisdiction of the Port District, lies adjacent to the west. The Port's Master Plan designates the area for commercial recreation and Public Park. It is important to emphasize that the development of this area should be closely coordinated with the Port during the project planning phase. Also, to ensure a well integrated and quality development, the concurrent development of both the National City parcel and the Port District parcel should be encouraged.

Although the tourist commercial recreation designation covers a broad range of uses, one or two anchor uses should be sought for the area. Particularly appropriate for the area would be an overnight use and a boating related use, the two activities which the greatest unmet demand. Desirable forms of overnight use include hotel or motel facilities, and/or a recreational vehicle park/campground complex. Such uses, in a close proximity to the Port's launching ramp and the Army Corps' proposed recreational features, would have apparent possibilities. Development of a marina on adjacent Port District property may be appropriate. With the proposed extension of the channel for the flood control project, increased boat usage will become even more desirable. Other boating related facilities that would be appropriate include a dry storage area and a sales/service establishment. Again, available space for boat storage near San Diego Bay is at a premium and the situation is only going to become tighter. A stacked or tiered dry storage area,

~~similar to that at Perez Cove on Mission Bay, would be a desirable use at the National City location. With an overnight use and boating use serving as anchors, it can be expected that other related uses such as eating establishments and specialty shops will be attracted to the area.~~

~~When assessing the potential for recreational development along National City's Bayfront, there are several additional factors which should not be ignored. One factor is the proximity to the 24th Street MTDB station. Although it is over a mile away and probably beyond comfortable walking distance, the station has a parking lot and provides direct service to such destinations as Tijuana, Seaport village, and downtown San Diego. Another consideration is the numerous bicycle trails which are being proposed in the area. Using National City as a starting point, bicyclists could easily reach the Bay Route Bikeway and the Sweetwater River Channel Bikeway. A final consideration is that the development of the area, especially with overnight uses, will do much to solve the problems of crime and vandalism in the area.~~

The 24th Street Bay Marina Drive trolley station and freeway access (to I-5 and State Route Rt. 54) at 24th Street Bay Marina Drive provide opportunities for tourist commercial development in the area north of Paradise Marsh, currently developed with meat packing facilities and parking lots. Streetscape and roadway improvements, or separated pedestrian facilities would be needed to improve pedestrian access from the trolley station under I-5 to the area.

Tourist commercial development at 24th Street Bay Marina Drive north of Paradise Marsh would provide a gateway to National City's Bayfront as well as to the Port. It would provide facilities such as restaurants, hotel or motel and other complementary uses to those intended at the Bayfront itself, west of Paradise Marsh. It should be developed to encourage bicycle and pedestrian users since it is within close proximity to both the trolley station and the recreational area along the Sweetwater River Channel.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The National City Bayfront shall be designated for tourist commercial and recreational use, as indicated in the Land Use Plan (See Figure 2). 1). ~~Using the SD&AE railroad as a point of demarcation, consistent with the wetland area proposed for acquisition by the Army Corps of Engineers, the area located to the east, including Paradise Marsh and surrounding lands, shall be designated suitable for passive recreational uses only.~~ The areas to the west and to the north of the Marsh shall be designated for tourist commercial and recreational uses. Wetland resources located west of the railroad, Bayshore Bikeway ~~which are not proposed for public acquisition,~~ shall be protected from incompatible development, consistent with marsh preservation policies.
2. The passive recreational area would accommodate the preservation of Paradise Marsh, along with the provision of public accessways and landscaped areas. Public access would be provided and managed consistent with the public access component of the LCP and the maintenance of wetland resource values. Beyond this area, a transition to more active uses could begin. Landscaped areas suitable for picnicking and general recreation may be appropriate.

3. In order to meet specific recreational market demands and provide an attraction for secondary uses, overnight uses and boating uses shall be assigned the highest commercial development priority for the commercial recreational areas. For the area west of Paradise Marsh, appropriate uses, as described in the Balanced Plan, include marina development, hotel/motel and restaurant facilities, recreational vehicle park/campground, dry-storage and boat service facility, and/or public park areas. For the area north of Paradise Marsh, hotel/motel facilities, restaurants and other tourist commercial use would be appropriate. ~~The intensity of development shall be reviewed for impacts on traffic circulation.~~ A Specific Plan shall be prepared to address traffic circulation and roadway and other improvements, in conjunction with development plans for the tourist commercial area west of Paradise Marsh. The Specific Plan shall determine the location of roadway improvements, based on resource protection standards, i.e., consistency with marsh preservation policies.

Tourist commercial development in the above referenced areas shall be consistent with existing or currently planned road capacities to the north and south of the proposed tourist commercial area, including the planned extension of Harrison Avenue and the Tidelands Avenue crossing proposed in the City of Chula Vista Bayfront LCP. The intensity of development shall also be reflective of the constraints placed on these roadways by the Marsh Preservation policies of this Plan. Approval of these land uses shall not be considered precedent for increasing the capacity of the roads to the north and south of the tourist commercial area.

- ~~4. A higher quality project and a better design should result from such coordination and a more viable development will likely be attracted to the area.~~
4. As previously denoted in the Balanced Plan and in order to develop the tourist commercial and recreational area west of Paradise Marsh coordination with the Port District for concurrent development of Port District lands shall continue to be encouraged.
5. To enhance the recreational potential and attractiveness of the National City waterfront, the restoration and protection of Paradise Marsh continues to be imperative and a continued City policy of Paradise Marsh is a desirable program. ~~A feasible restoration program shall be determined with the potential assistance of the Coastal Conservancy, or other appropriate agencies, to finance, plan, and implement such a restoration program. The program shall also involve coordination with the Bayfront Conservancy Trust in its efforts to finance, plan and implement a restoration program, including access and recreational features.~~
6. To ensure that the recreational potential of the area is maximized, development shall take into account the proximity to the ~~MTDBMTS~~'s "San Diego Trolley System", the Bayshore Route Bikeway, and the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel's recreational areas and trails systems, as well as recreational uses planned for the adjacent Chula Vista Bayfront and other waterfront development on San Diego Bay.

CHAPTER V MARSH PRESERVATION

COASTAL ACT POLICIES

Sections 30230, 30231, and 30236 require the preservation, enhancement, and restoration of water and marine resources including coastal waters, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes. Sections 30233 and 30235 establish conditions under which diking, dredging, filling and the use of shoreline structures may and may not occur. Section 30233 (c) limits dredging related to maritime industries and facilities, minor public facilities, restorative measures, and other marine dependent uses. ~~in the 19 priority wetlands identified by the Department of Fish and Game to minor public facilities, restorative measures, and nature study.~~ Section 30240 provides for the protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas by restricting uses within or adjacent to such areas.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The Paradise Creek Marsh in southwest National City consists of two areas comprising a total of 29.8 areas, as described in the Working Paper on Paradise Marsh Biological Resources. The main area, 26.1 acres in size, is located west of Interstate 5 (I-5), within a 40-acre area designated as Open Space Wetland Preserve (OSR) in the Land Use Plan. A second area of approximately 3.7 acres lies east of I-5 and is connected to the main area by a culvert. It is designated as OSR in the Land Use Plan. Paradise Creek, partly in a meandering original channel and partly in a new channelized straight course, leads from the northeast corner of the main area to join the Sweetwater River south of the National City-Chula Vista border. Because of the Sweetwater River's connection to San Diego Bay and the low elevation of the area, the marsh is subjected to tidal action.

The main area is bounded on the east by I-5, on the west ~~of the Bayshore Bikeway~~ by San Diego and Arizona Eastern railroad tracks Marina Way, and on the north by medium manufacturing along 24th ~~Twenty-Fourth~~ Bay Marina Drive ~~Street~~. The southern boundary of the subject area is the National City-Chula Vista city line, but the wetland extends 1,800 more feet (20 more acres) south to the junction of the Paradise Creek channel with the Sweetwater River. This main area contains a large 9.4-acre section of coastal salt marsh represented by the Cordgrass, Saltwort, and Pickle weed habitats. The salt marsh surrounds a small intertidal flat and is itself surrounded by slopes leading to higher upland sites. On each side of a newly dredged channel are large, flat expanses of fill which support a salt pan with occasional patches of vegetation.

The secondary area is bounded on the east by Hoover Avenue, on the north by light manufacturing properties along West Thirtieth Street, and on the south by more light industry. The Metropolitan Transit Development Board's transit line is the west boundary. About one acre of coastal salt marsh and an equal area of salt pan lie within the area. Above and north of this area is a terrace of disturbed upland, most likely a former roadbed. Slopes lead up from here to the building sites at the north edge.

Paradise Creek originally flowed into the Paradise Marsh area, then west into San Diego Bay. Presently, Paradise Creek enters the main area from a culvert at the northeast corner of the marsh.

Before entering the marsh, the creek runs in a channelized bed nearly 4,000 feet long. It drains approximately 1.5 square miles of upland National City. Almost half of its length within the marsh is the original meandering channel; the remaining length is a straight channel leading to the Sweetwater River. At the National City-Chula Vista border, the channel is about 28 feet wide. The sides are steep and the almost flat bottom is under about two feet of water at low tide of -1.5 feet. There are many small tidal creeks connected to the meandering part of the creek and a few incipient tidal creeks along the straight part. Another stream flows from a storm drain at Hoover Street, through the second marsh area and a culvert, and then joins Paradise Creek.

Historically, the wetlands of California have been subjected to ~~severe alteration by mansignificant development~~. In 1900, California had 381,000 acres of wetlands, and southern California, from Santa Barbara County to San Diego County, had 26,000 acres. California's coastal wetlands have been reduced to 126,000 acres, a 67 percent reduction. Southern California's wetlands have been reduced by a similar percentage to 8,500 acres. Within the slightly larger Southern California boundaries, between Morro Bay and Ensenada, Mexico, three of the original 28 sizeable estuaries have been destroyed, ten drastically modified, and 15 moderately modified, leaving none untouched. San Diego Bay has lost an even higher percentage of its wetlands; it has 360 acres of salt marsh plus 600 acres of tidal flats remaining from an original 2,450 acres and 1,200 acres - an overall loss of 74 percent.

The land surrounding the marsh has been heavily impacted by man through industry, major highways, dredge, ~~and historic fill operations.~~ ~~and fill operations, parks and all terrain vehicle (ATV) activity.~~ The marsh itself has been impacted by ATV, pedestrian activity and rubbish dumping. These ~~man-induced~~ impacts can be traced back to 1888 when a pier was built at the end of Twenty-Fourth Street. The open area to the west of the marsh was filled in 1946. Construction of the 1,960-acre Twenty-Fourth Street Terminal began in 1967. The area between Paradise Creek and San Diego Bay was filled in 1968, and the channel was dredged between Paradise Creek and the Sweetwater River. The land around the dredged channel is, for the most part, bare fill. The D Street fill in Chula Vista was formed in 1969, covering an area of 108 acres. It has eroded on its western edge, depositing sediment into a 26-acre tidal flat, degrading the tidal flat habitat. Due to creation of the Twenty-Fourth Street Marine Terminal, the dredging of the Twenty-Fourth Street channel and filling of some wetland area, another channel was dredged in 1969 to divert Paradise Creek south into the Sweetwater River.

Today, Paradise Creek is a remnant of a formerly extensive marsh system. It once joined with the Sweetwater River Marsh to create a continuous estuarine area all along National City's shoreline, and extended inland beyond National City Boulevard. It is now reduced to 29+ acres and is separated from the Sweetwater Marsh by the D Street fill. Still, the Sweetwater-Paradise Marsh complex is the highest quality marsh remaining in San Diego Bay.

EXISTING PLANNING AND ZONING

The Natural Setting Section of the National City's Updated General Plan contains several policy statements recommending the preservation of Paradise Marsh. For example:

Policy F. The City will preserve open space as necessary and desirable to conserve natural resources, to provide adequate recreation, and to protect public health and safety.

Policy H. The City will support efforts by ~~the Coastal Commission and Army Corps of Engineers-state and federal resource agencies~~ related to preserving valuable natural habitats in the Paradise Marsh and Sweetwater River areas.

Implementation Policy 9. Seek implementation of the adopted Local Coastal Program’s Land Use Plan, regarding preservation and ~~upgrading-enhancing~~ of natural resources in the Paradise Marsh and Bayfront areas of the ~~cCoastal zZone~~ in National City.

National City’s Combined General Plan/Zoning Map designates the Paradise Marsh as Open Space Reserve (OSR). The secondary area of the marsh, that which is located east of I-5, is also designated as Open Space Reserve. The designation is applied primarily to implement the Local Coastal Plan, regarding preservation of open space wetland areas and passive use of the land for limited nature study purposes.

The Paradise Marsh area is also located within the Floodway Fringe (FF-1). This zone is applied to those areas of special flood hazard designated as Floodway Fringe on the “Flood Boundary and Floodway Map” of the Federal Flood Insurance Study. Before development can proceed within a special flood hazard area, a Flood Hazard Development Permit must be obtained concurrently with routinely required development permits. The FF-1 zone establishes the development standards with which development must comply to receive the Flood Hazard Development Permit.

~~Another project that would impact the Paradise Marsh area is the joint Army Corps/Caltrans Sweetwater River Flood Channel Highway 54 Project. The Paradise Marsh would be acquired as mitigation for the construction of the flood control channel. Also, the connection between the marsh and the flood control channel would be restored to improve tidal flow.~~

ANALYSIS

RESOURCE VALUE

The value of the Paradise Marsh includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1. Through photosynthesis of algal species, the marsh provides an oxygen supply for the waters of San Diego Bay, necessary for survival of fish species and natural pollution impact abatement.
2. Flushing of plant and animal detritus from the marsh provides organic matter important for food chains in the bay and protection from sea level rise.
3. The marsh acts as a nursery for at least nine fish species, including several important sport fish species.

4. The wetland habitats are extremely important wildlife areas, supporting a very high diversity of bird species. These include a number of sensitive species, i.e., Belding's Savannah Sparrow, and potentially the Light-footed Clapper Rail. The wetlands also are an important stopover point for migratory species along the Pacific Flyway.

Potential uses for the wetlands, if preserved, are:

1. Basic scientific research, nature study, or educational uses;
2. Passive recreation (i.e., bird watching);

~~3. A possible source for applied research into the use of marsh species to introduce salt-tolerant genes into economically important plants (in agriculture), through selective cross-breeding.~~

IMPACT ON MARSH

The biological resources of the Paradise Creek Marsh have been affected by both past and current impacts. Past impacts to the marsh, which changed its character and size, were reduction in total area of wetlands, landfill activities, and channel alteration of Paradise Creek. Current, ongoing impacts to the biological resources include off-road vehicle activity, rubbish disposal, and sedimentation and pollutant deposition from urban runoff.

~~The most adverse of these impacts is by off-road vehicle activity, especially in the southern salt-pan habitat. There is also evidence of refuse and commercial waste dumping along the northern and western margins of the salt marsh from the meat packing plant and railroad easement. The secondary area, to the east of I-5, has been degraded to a great extent by landfill, erosion, and vehicular activity. Preservation of the Paradise Marsh will require reduction of the current impacts of off-road vehicles, rubbish and commercial waste dumping into the marsh, and, at a minimum, maintenance of current sedimentation and total dissolved solids in runoff at or below present levels.~~

PRESERVATION CONSIDERATIONS

One ~~technique of mitigation measure for~~ preserving wetlands commonly referred to is the provision of a buffer area between the wetland and development. The Coastal Commission and other resource agencies generally recommends that development be set back 100 feet from the delineated landward edge of a wetland. The 100-foot-wide buffer may be increased ~~or decreased~~ in consultation with the Department of Fish and Game resource agencies. The purpose of the 100-foot buffer is to ensure that the type and scale of development will not significantly degrade the adjacent habitat area. ~~The distinction must be made, however, that the application of the 100-foot buffer assumes that the area surrounding the wetland is substantially undeveloped.~~ With respect to Paradise Marsh, the wetland is almost entirely surrounded by existing industrial development and transportation corridors, including lumber storage yards, automobile storage, I-5, and State Route 54 rights-of-ways., slaughter houses, steel fabricating plant, I-5, and rights of way for the AT&SF and SD&AE Railroads. In most locations, this existing development lies

immediately adjacent to the landward edge of the wetlands. In such situations, the Commission's guidelines recommend that new development observe an appropriate setback based on unique characteristics of the property. ~~It should also be noted that the marsh areas recommended and required for acquisition as mitigation for the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel/Rt. 54 freeway projects were determined to include necessary buffers for protection of wetlands.~~

In order to preserve the marsh, it is essential that the marsh be open to tidal flushing. Salt marshes need nitrogen, and preliminary fertilizer experiments have indicated that a marsh could be more productive if more nitrogen were available. One important source of nitrogen is ammonium from the ocean via tidal flushing. For example, in the Tijuana estuary, flushing can supply 1.0 - 2.16g nitrogen per square meter per year (28 percent of the nitrogen required for vascular plants, 10 percent of that required by vascular and algal plants combined). Another source of nitrogen is mineral recycling within the marsh itself, partly through decomposition. Also, tidal flushing exports about 30 percent of the marsh's net primary productivity to the ocean. For example, organic carbon leaves the Tijuana estuary at the rate of 35-105g carbon per square meter per year, mostly in the dissolved form. Since little particulate organic carbon (i.e., carbon in detritus) leaves, it is assumed that the detritus is consumed within the marsh and is a partial source of nutrients.

~~Lowered tidal flushing has several possible effects. In years of high rainfall, it could lead to increased production, as happened in Los Penasquitos Lagoon in 1978. Less organic matter is lost to the ocean and is available for recycling. Soil nutrients remain high. Higher production by vascular plants initially appears to be an advantage, but algal productivity can suffer due to shading and may upset the balance of detritus versus grazer-based food chains. Detritus feeders consume broken plant parts where grazers feed on the algal mats that grow between the vascular plants.~~

In years of low rainfall, lower tidal flushing can lead to hyper-salinity of the soil, which can reduce productivity, leading possibly to the elimination of some species. For example, Cordgrass cannot tolerate either high salinity or widely fluctuating salinities, and a population of Light-footed Clapper Rails would disappear from an area if it were deprived of Cordgrass for its nesting sites. It has been hypothesized that Los Penasquitos Lagoon lost its Cordgrass between 1942 and the 1970's due to altered tidal circulation.

As mentioned previously, the essential measure necessary to guarantee the preservation of Paradise Marsh is the maintenance of tidal flushing. As long as the marsh is kept open to tidal flushing, and as long as the major input of freshwater continues to be runoff from the upstream areas rather than industrial discharge, the existing water quality will be maintained at acceptable levels. Other management alternatives, such as implementing increased street sweeping programs or sediment control measures in selected sub-basins, do not appear to be necessary on the basis of the data and results presently available.

RESTORATION CONSIDERATIONS

As proposed, the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel would have an overall beneficial impact upon the biological resources of Paradise Marsh. The reason for this, in addition to the preservation of the marsh itself through acquisition, is the restoration of the marsh's primary

connection channel. The intended result of these actions is to increase the rates of tidal flushing, thereby improving the productivity of the marsh.

Consideration of restoration activities should definitely not be limited to the connection channel. Improving the tidal inundation of the main marsh itself would result in a more productive habitat and an increased number and diversity of wildlife utilizing the marsh. In addition, the marsh could become an aesthetic asset of National City's Coastal Zone~~coastal zone~~.

In general, restoration of the area would entail removal of some of the fill from the present high salt-pan to the south of the marsh itself and in the secondary area to the east of I-5, and re-channelization of these two areas. ~~It would be desirable to complete these actions in conjunction with construction of the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel project in order to minimize disruptions to the wetland and wildlife.~~

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The wetlands of the Paradise Creek Marshes well as the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5, including salt marsh, freshwater marsh, salt-pan, channel, and mudflat habitats, are valuable and sensitive biological resources, and shall be preserved. The plan designation for these areas shall be OPEN SPACE/WETLAND PRESERVE; ~~t. The boundaries of the "Open Space Wetland Preserve" areas include the marsh area required for acquisition by the Army Corps of Engineers for the Sweetwater River flood control improvements, marsh area within Caltrans right of way easterly of the SDG&E rights of way, and the secondary area of Paradise Marsh east of the I-5 freeway. The Sweetwater River area, south of 35th Street, designated for industrial and commercial use;~~ and the wetlands located west of the railroad, which are not proposed for public acquisition, also contain valuable biological resources which shall be preserved under an overlay zone or other appropriate, implementing regulation which shall be defined in the implementation plan. The overlay zone or implementing regulation shall include requirements for mapping all wetlands not included in the "Open Space Wetland Reserve" land use designation, execution of open space easements over identified resources and their buffers in conjunction with new development and a determination of appropriate buffers for any new development.
2. In order to preserve Paradise Marsh; the wetlands located west of the Bayshore Bikeway; ~~;~~ ~~railroad, which are not proposed for public acquisition;~~ the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5; and the Sweetwater River south of 35th Street shall be subject to the following policies:
 - Alteration shall be limited to marine--dependent uses, minor incidental public facilities, restoration measures, and nature study. Consistent with the provisions of Section 30233, the diking, dredging and filling of wetlands, open waters, estuaries and lakes shall be permitted only where there is no feasible less environmentally damaging alternative, and where feasible mitigation measures have been provided to minimize adverse environmental effects, and shall be limited to the following: incidental public service purposes, restoration purposes, and nature study. There

shall be no alteration of Paradise Marsh, the wetlands located west of the railroad which are not proposed for public acquisition, as well as of the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5, and the Sweetwater River south of 35th Street, except as determined by a marsh restoration program which has been approved by the California Coastal Commission.

- The dumping of rubbish or commercial waste into the marsh areas shall be prohibited.
- The intrusion of off-road vehicles and unauthorized pedestrian traffic into the marsh areas shall be discouraged.
- ~~the Department of Fish and Game. A buffer area less than 100 feet wide may be permitted, depending upon the analysis of the specific site proposed for development. Examples which may demonstrate that a lesser distance would be acceptable include but are not limited to the type and size of development, proposed buffer improvements such as landscaping or fencing, and existing site characteristics such as a grade differential between a marsh area and adjacent upland area, existing development in the area, and parcel size and configuration. Consistency with buffers required as part of the Sweetwater River Channel/Rt. 54 project shall also be considered in order to determine appropriate buffers less than 100 feet wide. The buffers shall be determined with the concurrence of the State Department of Fish and Game.~~
- A buffer area shall be established for new development adjacent to wetlands. A 100 ft. distance from the edge of the wetland shall generally provide an acceptable buffer. The required distance may be increased or decreased based on consultation with state and federal resource agencies.

3. To enhance the habitat and aesthetic value of Paradise Marsh, the wetlands located west of the railroad, which are not proposed for acquisition, as well as the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5, and the Sweetwater River south of 35th Street, feasible restoration activities shall be encouraged. Feasible restoration activities shall be determined with the potential assistance of the Coastal Conservancy, or other public agency or private group, including the Bayfront Conservancy Trust, to finance, plan, implement and manage a restoration program. The recommended elements for a restoration program include:

- A public access and information program that would be designed to allow observation of the marsh, while controlling intrusion into the marsh itself. A component of the access program should be an interpretive nature trail along the western margins of Paradise Marsh, which could connect with an observation platform.
- The removal of all rubbish and debris from the marsh through a volunteer effort, or the California Conservation Corps.

- The dredging of Paradise Marsh, consistent with a marsh restoration program, prepared in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game and approved by the California Coastal Commission, possibly concurrent with the construction of the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel, to improve tidal flow and flushing. Dredging shall be restricted to existing tidal channels.
 - The encouragement of a scientific research program.
4. Proposed new development, including roadways, located adjacent to the wetlands of Paradise Marsh, the wetlands located west of the railroad which are not proposed for public acquisition, the secondary area of Paradise Marsh, east of I-5, and the Sweetwater River south of 35th Street, shall be designed to discourage the intrusion of pedestrians, vehicles, or domestic animals into the marsh through physical barriers such as fencing and/or landscaping with appropriate non-invasive species. In association with new development or remodeling of existing development contiguous with the wetlands, including roadways, drainage shall be directed off-site toward the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel, or to existing street drains, whenever possible, or channeled into a settling area before entering the marsh. Potential increase in the rate of storm-water runoff, which may result from new development, including roadways, adjacent to wetlands, shall be controlled by detention basins or other means to avoid impacts of erosion and sedimentation on wetlands. The size, design and placement of such sedimentation control devices shall be developed in consultation with ~~the State Department of Fish and Game~~ [state and federal resource agencies and Game](#) prior to or concurrent with the commencement of construction and shall be installed and maintained by the developer, or any successors in interest.
 5. Wetlands in private ownership, which may be located in the CT, C and M, as well as OSR designated areas, shall be protected from development through the application of an overlay zone or other appropriate, implementing regulation proposed in Policy #1. Necessary protective measures, including adequate buffers, regulations regarding the design and siting of structures, etc., and open space easements shall be determined during review of proposals for development, by application of criteria to be specified in the LCP Implementation Plan.
 6. Landscaping in areas adjacent to wetlands shall include plants only which are not invasive of wetlands.
 7. Specific erosion control measures shall be approved, incorporated into development, be in place at the initial phase of work, monitored and maintained in conjunction with all grading activities, consistent with Section X (B) (4) (k) of the Implementation Plan, during the period of November 1 to April 1 of each year for all properties which drain directly to marsh and wetland areas. These properties shall include all properties located in the following areas:

All properties between 35th Street and the southern City limits;

All properties in the area lying between 33rd Street, Hoover Avenue, 30th Street, and the ~~MTDB San Diego~~ [MTS](#) Trolley ~~L~~Line;

All properties in the City's jurisdiction located westerly of Highway I-5 and south of ~~24th Street~~Bay Marina Drive.

~~(NOTE): The preceding has been largely paraphrased from the work conducted by Regional Environmental Consultants (RECON), on the biological resources of Paradise Marsh. RECON's report is incorporated as Appendix II of this Land Use Plan. All references for the Marsh Preservation section are in RECON's report, and are not duplicated in the reference list for the overall Plan.~~

CHAPTER VI VISUAL RESOURCES

COASTAL ACT POLICIES

Section 30251 of the Coastal Act calls for the protection of the scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The industrial character of National City's Bayfront generally does not provide ~~generally~~ scenic values commonly associated with the natural resources of the ~~Coastal Zone~~coastal zone. Nonetheless, coastal industrial areas are essential to the economy of the City and region. Recreational facilities were added on the National City waterfront in the early 2000s with the construction of the National City Marina. The Balanced Plan includes the expansion of the existing Pepper Park, adds a dry boat storage facility, RV Park, and provides for future hotel projects. At the same time, it allows the marine--dependent industries to continue and to expand. ~~city and region, and their physical form and functional activity can foster public interest. While such areas do not usually provide for public viewing, observation opportunities can be educational and provide a different perspective to the varied functions of the coastal zone. As an example, a school excursion to the area would offer exposure to a number of coastal related industrial activities and operations such as container terminals, lumber storage, railroad transportation, resource recovery operations, and petroleum handling.~~

The Balanced Plan provides new scenic areas, vista points, and public access corridors. Within National City, the most notable scenic resource is ~~the~~Paradise Marsh and, under the Balanced Plan, the marsh ~~is~~ fully protected along with its public vista points.

~~On the subject of coastal visual resources, the more commonly referred to elements are scenic areas, vista points, and public access corridors. Within National City, the most notable scenic resource is the Paradise Marsh. Although the area could not be considered pristine and urban development does intrude, the marsh does provide visual open space which is accentuated by its linkage with Sweetwater Marsh. The best and most accessible vantage points to view the marsh are along I-5. The shorefront area adjacent to the Port District's launching ramp offers vista opportunities of San Diego Bay. In fact, this area has been designated a vista area in the Port's Master Plan.~~

The only public access area to the bay itself is from the existing boat launching ramp. Direct access to the bay is preclude^{3d} by the National City Marine Terminal operated by the Port District. Pasha Automotive is an automobile importer and exporter with many land areas surrounding the Marine Terminal being used as car storage areas. ~~ABay Marina Drive~~Bay Marina DriveThe areas north of the terminal are typical small industrial facilities, as well as ~~and~~ the Port's maintenance center.

~~A discussion of the existing visual qualities of National City's access corridors is in some respects irrelevant. The reason for this is that the only public access area (boat launching ramp) and the immediate access corridor to it (Tidelands Avenue south of 24th Street) are under the jurisdiction~~

~~of the Port. Of course, 24th Street is under National City's jurisdiction, and its intersection with I-5 provides the main entrance to the Bayfront area, and sets the tone for the industrial nature of the area.~~

EXISTING PLANNING AND ZONING

National City's General Plan includes policies for the protection of the Paradise Marsh, which provides aesthetic, as well as biologic values. Also of importance are policies which propose the upgrading of landscaping throughout the City. A specific policy proposes the construction and landscaping of special entryways to National City, ~~as well as addressing Sea Level Rise.~~ It is proposed, as a part of this LCP, that this policy be applied to the recreational and commercial areas ~~adjacent to Paradise Marsh when they develop within the Coastal -Zone.~~ Appropriate landscaping should also be incorporated into proposed roadways, along ~~24th Street Bay Marina Drive Marina Way~~ and adjacent to I-5 in order to provide an improved image and identity for the Paradise Marsh area.

~~In matters of coastal zone aesthetics, signs have traditionally been an issue. However,~~ National City's Land Use Code contains a sign ordinance which adequately manages the quality of signage and recognizes the importance of using signage as waypoints to public coastal access. ~~sign issue. The sign ordinance requires a permit for all signs and outdoor advertising, and requires that they be in conformance with the Uniform Building Code.~~ The ordinance designates permitted signs in each zone, and establishes locational and size criteria. The sign ordinance also identifies signs that are prohibited, which includes billboards, and establishes a procedure for the abatement of such non-conforming signs.

Of particular significance to any new development within National City's Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ is the City's Site Plan Review Ordinance. The ordinance requires that prior to the issuance of building permits, accurately dimensioned architectural drawings and plot plans be submitted for the review and approval of the Planning Department. Review criteria includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- desirable site layout and design
- utility of open areas
- adequacy of landscaping
- compliance with general plan

It is important to note that National City's site plan review far exceeds routine design review, in that both the Planning Department and Commission, on appeal, have the authority to deny site plans.

The tourist commercial designation and the Planned Development (PD) overlay zone is applied to the area north of Paradise Marsh and south of ~~24th Street Bay Marina Drive~~, designated for commercial and recreational use in the Coastal Plan. The PD overlay requires approval by the Planning Commission, or City Council on appeal, for new project design, determined by a Planned Development permit. A Planned Development Permit may be approved, subject to consistency

with the City's zoning ordinance, if after public notice and hearing, required findings for approval are determined to be supported by project information or by required conditions of approval.

~~Another on-going planning effort that will improve the aesthetic qualities of National City's coastal zone is the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel.~~ There are several elements of this project which will greatly enhance the visual quality of the area. These elements are the creation of shoreline access and recreational features along the banks of the channel, the restoration of the connection between the Sweetwater River and Paradise Marsh, and the preservation of the marsh itself through acquisition. It should be noted that the enhancement of the area's visual quality is really a secondary benefit resulting from the primary objectives of the area's natural resources and providing recreational opportunities.

With respect to the appearance of access corridors, attention must be devoted to the Port District's Master Plan. Tidelands Avenue south of ~~24th Street~~ Bay Marina Drive is the only existing access route to the proposed recreational areas of National City, and it is located almost entirely within the jurisdiction of the Port District.

ANALYSIS

The control of signs, attention to landscaping, enhancement of marsh areas and development of parks will all contribute to improving the visual quality of National City's shoreline areas and should be actively encouraged. However, the single action that would have the most significant beneficial visual impact on the area will be the development of the proposed recreational and commercial areas ~~contained in the Balanced Plan, adjacent to Paradise Marsh and the new road that would provide direct access.~~ In order to create an environment that will be a successful public attraction, it is essential that attention not only be devoted to the ultimate use of the area, but also to its appearance. The mandatory application of the City's site plan review procedure to a single, large scale development would ensure that the development of this critical area is of the highest aesthetic quality. The Planned Development Permit requirement would ensure public review for proposed projects. Additional control would be gained by applying a Specific Plan requirement, particularly if further land divisions are proposed. It could also address the need for construction of the roadway concurrent with recreational and commercial development in the area west of Paradise Marsh and north of the Sweetwater River Channel. Further, it is recommended that the General Plan policy proposing the construction of landscaped entryways be implemented for ~~24th Street~~ Bay Marina Drive, ~~as well as incorporated into the design of the proposed new road to provide direct access to the recreation area.~~

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

1. To ensure that the Army Corps of Engineer's Sweetwater River Flood Control project improves the scenic resources of the area, National City shall support and encourage the project as proposed with the following mitigations.
 - the restoration of the marsh connections with the Sweetwater River, and

- the development of shoreline recreational features along the banks of the flood control channel
2. To ensure that the development of the proposed commercial and recreational area adjacent to Paradise Marsh west of ~~the the SD&AE railroad~~Bayshore Bikeway is of the highest aesthetic quality, the City shall require that the development of the site shall be in accordance with development standards and requirements to be determined by a Specific Plan for the area. The Specific Plan shall determine appropriate height limits, landscape elements, signage, and view protection and enhancement, consistent with the policies of the Land Use Plan. Vistas shall be provided from public roadways and public open space areas to Paradise Marsh and the Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel. Height limits shall be established as determined necessary to provide for focal points in key activity areas.
 3. ~~To ensure that the new road to provide access to the proposed recreation area adjacent to Paradise Marsh is of high visual quality, its design shall implement and incorporate the General Plan policy proposing the construction of landscaped entryways. Landscaped entryway improvements for 24th Street would be especially appropriate.~~
 4. ~~A Specific Plan shall be prepared to identify design improvements to enhance the visual identity of the Paradise Marsh area, provide a visual linkage between recreational uses near the Sweetwater River Channel and tourist commercial uses west of the Marsh and at 24th Street, and appropriate visual separation or buffering of industrial uses to the west and freeway to the east. The design improvements identified in the SpecificBalanced Plan shall include landscape elements, signage, and architectural elements or criteria, such as height, scale, bulk, color, and building materials. ~~Protection or creation of vistas should also be identified in the Specific Plan.~~~~
 5. To ensure that new development throughout the Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ is visually appropriate, projects shall be reviewed for conformance to City standards for building aesthetics and materials, height, signing and landscaping. ~~See Appendix IV.~~ Project design shall also be reviewed with regard to other appropriate visual elements identified through the development review process for the development facilities contained in the Balanced Plan.

CHAPTER VII INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

COASTAL ACT POLICIES

Sections 30232, 30250(b), 30255, and 30260-64 of the Coastal Act provide guidelines for industrial facilities, tanker facilities, liquefied natural gas terminals, oil and gas development, refineries, and electrical generating plants. Sections 30255 and 30260 establish locational criteria for coastal-dependent industrial development.

BACKGROUND

The following explanation of coastal-dependent and related industries is excerpted from the Unified Port District's Master Plan, and is included to provide the reader with a basic understanding of marine related industrial uses.

Marine related industry requires sites within close proximity to water because of functional dependencies for access to waterborne products, processes, raw materials or large volumes of water. The primary users of marine related industrial areas are dependent upon large ships, deep water and specialized loading and unloading facilities, typically associated with ship building and repair, processing plants and marine terminal operations. Other activities suitable for marine related industrial areas include railroad switching and spur tracks, cargo handling equipment (such as bulk loaders and container cranes), berthing facilities, warehouses, silos and fueling facilities, ship building, repair and conversion yards, steel fabrication and foundry, storage, repair and maintenance of marine machinery and construction equipment, kelp and seafood processing, canning and packaging, and aquaculture. Support industries linked to these primary industrial activities can be clustered together to capitalize on the benefits of reduced material handling costs, reduced on-site storage requirements, faster deliveries, and a reduction of industrial traffic on public roads.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

National City's portion of the Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ includes two separate areas that are characterized by industrial development. The most heavily industrialized area is located west of I-5. It is almost entirely developed with medium industrial uses, and is designated "MM" (Medium Manufacturing) on the Combined General Plan/Zoning Map, with an isolated area of "MH" (Heavy Manufacturing). The area is well served by truck access via I-5, rail access, and ship access through Port District lands.

The proximity of the Port lands is significant to this area because of the intense industrial activity which is generated. The National City Marine Terminal is one of only two terminals within the Port, and is the only one capable of expansion. The north wharf of the terminal is primarily used for the shipment of scrap metal and the receipt of petroleum products. ~~A high-speed 33-ton container crane, having a capacity of 40 long tons and capable of handling 30 containers per hour, runs along the southerly half of the west wharf.~~ Due to continuing increases in terminal operations,

needs for additional berthing facilities are being created. The proposals contained in the Port's Master Plan to respond to this need would more than double berthing space at the National City Marine Terminal, and add a second container crane. The area located to the rear of the Marine Terminal is used almost exclusively for the storage and handling of lumber and wood products.

The other industrial area within National City's Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ is the Sweetwater industrial area which is 160 acres in size and located east of I-5. The entire central portion of this area has been reserved for the joint Army Corps/CALTRANS Sweetwater River flood control channel and Highway 54 project. This area is virtually all developed with light industrial uses and some commercial areas fronting on National City Boulevard.

EXISTING PLANNING AND ZONING

National City's zoning ordinance contains four zones which implement the General Plan designation of industrial. Those zones are:

- light manufacturing (ML) zone
- medium manufacturing (MM) zone
- heavy manufacturing (MH) zone, and
- tidelands manufacturing (MT) zone

The purpose of the different zones is to designate compatible groupings of industrial uses and assign them appropriate zoning categories and locations. As the name of the different zones would imply, the intensity of the industrial use, in terms of both input and output, is the criterion utilized to segregate the various uses. Light manufacturing areas are located near residential and commercial uses, thereby creating a transition to more intense industrial uses. Examples of light manufacturing uses would include cabinet shops, electronics and appliance assembly, and auto body repair. Medium and heavy manufacturing areas would include such uses as petroleum recycling, steel fabrication and salvage areas. The other industrial zone is the tidelands manufacturing (MT) zone, which is coterminous with the jurisdiction of the Unified Port District. Although the City of National City does not retain land use authority over this area, the zone does identify those uses which would be compatible with Section 19 of the San Diego Unified Port District Act.

National City's zoning ordinance specifies permitted and conditional uses for the different industrial zone classifications, and also lists uses that are prohibited. In addition, the ordinance outlines a comprehensive set of development standards which establish design parameters. Standards are set forth for lot area, frontage, setbacks, aesthetics and materials, height, floor area ratio, lot coverage, parking, signing, and landscaping.

ANALYSIS

As discussed previously, National City's ~~Coastal Zone coastal zone~~ is characterized by industrial development, much of which is related to the proximity of the Port's ~~container terminal and wharfage~~ marine terminal activities. Due, in large part to the attraction of the marine terminal, virtually all of the industrial zoned land in National City's Bayfront has been developed. New industrial development and redevelopment will occur as older residential uses are eliminated; however, the assemblage of parcels large enough to accommodate and attract major industrial uses will be difficult. Taken together with the fact that National City has no direct bay frontage, the imposition of a policy giving preference only to marine related industrial use could be unnecessarily burdensome.

In most situations, the free market should adequately handle the allocation of available industrial land to marine related industrial uses. The reason being that industrial uses that benefit from a coastal oriented location will compete more effectively for such parcels. However, a land use policy which would allow the free market to operate with the minimum regulatory intervention, and would also achieve consistency with the objectives of the Coastal Act for coastal dependent industrial activity would be advisable. Such a policy would only be applicable in situations where different industrial uses are competing for land, and in such instances would assign priority to marine related industry.

POLICY RECOMMENDATION

1. In the event that different industrial land uses are competing for available industrial land, priority shall be given to marine-~~related-dependent~~ industrial uses.

CHAPTER VIII ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS

COASTAL ACT POLICIES

Section 30253(1) of the Coastal Act requires that new development minimize risks in areas of high geologic, flood and fire hazard.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Potential sources of hazards within National City's jurisdiction of the Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ include land settlement hazards, seismic hazards, ~~and~~ sea level rise, and flood hazards.

Settlement hazards in the area result from the presence of soft, sedimentary soil in the low lying areas, including areas of fill over bay/alluvium deposits. Bay mud has an almost liquid consistency and makes a poor foundation material.

No active faults are located within the area. Nearby, local faults include the northwest trending Rose Canyon fault, the Sweetwater fault and the La Nacion fault. The Rose Canyon fault is traceable as a fault zone from offshore at La Jolla to a point about 5 miles north of National City's Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ and is thought to extend through San Diego Bay to the Mexican border. The Sweetwater fault runs north-south along the eastern edge of National City, about 2 miles east of the coastal zone. The La Nacion fault also runs north-south, about a mile further east.

The Rose Canyon fault would be the most probable local source for a serious earthquake. The most severe shaking for the San Diego area occurred on May 27, 1982, possibly in the Rose Canyon fault zone, with a magnitude of 5.7 to 6.0. Seismic hazards to the area may also be expected from movement on the Elsinore fault zone, located about 40 miles to the east, with a maximum probable magnitude of 7.3.

The loose soils in the area are subject to potentially severe shaking from a magnitude 5.9 local earthquake. Older one-story buildings in the area would not provide great potential for damage. Newer buildings constructed in accordance with the Uniform Building Code would be expected to provide for specified safety standards. Other seismic-related hazards include subsidence, liquefaction and lateral spreading (movement of soil materials toward an unsupported slope, i.e. along stream channels. Ground rupture is considered a remote but possible earthquake occurrence related to movement on the nearby rose Canyon fault.

Other possible seismic hazards include tsunamis, sea waves generated by offshore, submarine earthquakes, and searching, surface waves within adjacent landlocked water bodies.

Flood hazards in the area result from natural watercourses, including Paradise Creek and the Sweetwater River. Within National City's Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ areas of potential flooding include the Paradise Marsh wetlands in Subareas I and III, and other low lying areas in Subarea III (Sweetwater industrial area). Completion of the U.S. Army corps of Engineers Sweetwater River Flood Control Project will mitigate this flooding hazard.

Sea Level Rise

As mentioned previously, is that the City has no residential development within its Coastal Zone and very few properties are impacted by sea level rise due to the distances between the bay and development. Additionally, there are very few properties that are available for either redevelopment or for new development within the City's Coastal Zone. However, the City will review each project to determine if the any proposed development may be impacted for by sea level rise, consistent with the Coastal Act and the Commission's policies on sea level rise. The below Coastal policies noted below will be taken into consideration as new development occurs within the City's Coastal Zone. These policies were produced by the Commission in the 2018 "Coastal Commission Sea Level Rise Policy Guidance" and adapted to meet the City's limited development within its Coastal Zone:

1. ~~1.~~ Acknowledge and address sea level rise as necessary in the General Plan and CDP decisions.
2. ~~2.~~ Use the best available science to determine City relevant and context-specific sea level rise projections for all stages of planning, project design, and CEQA permitting reviews.
3. ~~3.~~ Recognize scientific uncertainty by using scenario planning and adaptive management techniques where applicable.
3. ~~4.~~ Use
4. Use a precautionary approach by planning and providing adaptive capacity for the higher end of the range of possible sea level rise when supported by updated sea level rise modeling.
1. ~~5.~~ Design adaptation strategies according to City conditions and existing development patterns, in accordance with the Coastal Act and the City's LCP policies.
- 5.
6. ~~6.~~ Avoid significant coastal hazard risks to new development where feasible.
7. ~~7.~~ Minimize hazard risks to new development over the life of authorized structures.
8. ~~8.~~ Minimize coastal hazard risks and resource impacts when making redevelopment decisions.
9. ~~9.~~ Account for the social and economic needs of the people of the City; assure priority for coastal-dependent and coastal-related development over other development as shown in the City's LCP.
10. ~~10.~~ Ensure that property owners understand and assume the risks, and mitigate the coastal resource impacts, of new commercial development in flood hazardous areas.

11. ~~11.~~ Provide for maximum protection of coastal resources in all coastal planning and regulatory decisions.
12. ~~12.~~ Where applicable, maximize natural shoreline values and processes; avoid expansion and minimize the perpetuation of shoreline armoring.
13. ~~13.~~ Recognize that sea level rise will cause the public trust boundary to move inland. Protect public trust lands and resources, including as sea level rises. New shoreline protective devices should not result in the loss of public trust lands such as the Paradise March.
14. ~~14.~~ Address other potential coastal resource impacts (wetlands, habitat, agriculture, scenic, etc.) from hazard management decisions, consistent with the Coastal Act.
15. ~~15.~~ Address the cumulative impacts and City context of planning and permitting decisions.
- 2.16. Require mitigation of unavoidable coastal resource impacts related to permitting and shoreline management decisions.
17. ~~17.~~ Consider best available information on resource valuation when mitigating coastal resource impacts.
18. ~~18.~~ Coordinate planning and City decision making with other appropriate other local, or state and federal agencies.
19. ~~19.~~ Consider conducting vulnerability assessments when funding is available and adaptation planning.
20. ~~20.~~ Provide for maximum public participation in the CEQA and Coastal planning and other regulatory processes.

EXISTING PLANNING AND ZONING

The Natural Setting Section of National City's Updated General Plan contains several policies which address environmental hazards. For example:

Policy A

The City will enforce appropriate development regulations concerning geologic, soils and seismic hazards, and will monitor regional conditions, such as fault activity, which pertain to National City.

Policy B	The City will explore necessary measures to protect areas in danger from <u>sea level and</u> flood hazards.
Policy C	Assessment of potential flood and drainage impacts will be required of all major new developments. When significant impacts are identified, the project will provide adequate mitigation either directly or will provide the means for financing necessary public drainage improvements.
Implementation Policy 1	Maintain and improve the City's participation in regional planning for natural hazards, such as earthquakes and floods, and evaluate any new circumstances that may apply to National City.
Implementation Policy 2	Monitor any new information concerning the Sweetwater Fault, currently judged inactive, which runs through the far eastern portions of the City, and the La Nacion Fault, judged potentially active, which is less than a mile east of the City limits.
Implementation Policy 3	Revise and enforce appropriate development regulations as necessary to comply with recognized standards for protection from geologic, soils and seismic hazards, to ensure public safety.
Implementation Policy 4	Ensure through development regulations that proposed new development adequately provides for on- and off- site mitigation of potential flood hazards and drainage problems.

National City's Combined General Plan/Zoning Map applies the Floodway designation to land within the City that is vulnerable to flooding and subject to special, protective development regulations. The designated areas conform to the areas of special flood hazard identified by the Federal Insurance Administration.

The Army Corps of Engineers is constructing flood control channel improvements to alleviate flood hazards from the Sweetwater River. The area of the flood control channel is designated as open space by National City's Combined General Plan/Zoning Map.

ANALYSIS

Environmental hazards in National City's Coastal Zone ~~coastal zone~~ are not substantially different from other areas in the City, except for area of fill over bay/alluvium deposits. General Plan policies and implementing ordinances address environmental hazards. Building permit applications require site plan review by the Planning Department, which incorporates concerns of other City Departments, i.e., Building, Fire, Police and Engineering. Uniform Building Code requirements address adequacy of soils for proposed construction and adequacy of proposed construction with regard to seismic hazards. Additional policies are recommended to address geologic hazard in the coastal area.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

1. ~~Consistent with the above sea level rise policies, review of new development for potential flood. For, seismic, and geologic hazards by the City shall~~ Review of new development for potential flood, seismic and geologic hazards shall determine necessary improvements to minimize risk during the site plan review process, or during any applicable, discretionary review process.
2. Geotechnical reports shall be required for new development in areas subject to geologic hazard.
3. Waivers of liability shall be required from applicants for coastal development permits in areas of geologic hazard.
4. Prior to the development of the parcels on both sides of the existing Sweetwater River Channel, south of 35th Street, a sea level flood hazard study shall be conducted, based upon design criteria anticipating the potential flood hazard remaining after the construction of the Sweetwater River Flood Control channel or from a 100-year flood, whichever is applicable at the time of development. Only development consistent with the recommendations of the study shall be approved for the area. Specific development policies shall be provided in the Implementation Plan. The policies shall stress provision of adequate setbacks to minimize the amount of fill necessary for flood protection, and no armoring or channelization of the existing river channel for flood protection shall be allowed.

REFERENCES

- California Coastal Act of 1976, Public Resources Code Section 30000-30900.
- California Coastal Commission, Local Coastal Program Manual, October, 1979.
- California Coastal Commission, Local Coastal Program Regulations, July, 1980.
- California Coastal Commission, Statewide Interpretive Guidelines, March, 1977.
- California Coastal Commission, National City Issue Identification and Work Program, Staff Report, February 19, 1980.
- California Coastal Zone Conservation Act of 1972, Public Resources Code Sections 27000-27650.
- California Coastal Zone Conservation Commission, California Coastal Plan, December, 1975.
- California Coastal Zone Conservation Commission, (San Diego Region), Recreation, 1974.
- City of Arcata, Coastal Land Use Element, November, 1979.
- City of Carlsbad, Local Coastal Program, September, 1980 (PRC Toups)
- City of Carpinteria, Coastal Plan, January, 1980.
- City of Chula Vista, Phase II Chula Vista Bayfront Local Coastal Program, certified March 27, 1984 (Sedway Cooke Associates).
- City of Chula Vista, Chula Vista Bayfront Specific Plan, Chula Vista Local Coastal Program Phase III, amended February, 1986.
- City of National City, Updated General Plan, adopted February 1, 1983.
- City of National City, Combined General Plan/Zoning Map.
- City of National City, Community Development Commission, Draft Environmental Impact Report, E.J. Christman Business and Industrial Park, August 1977, (M.S.A., Inc.)
- City of National City, Issue Identification and Work Program, July, 1978. (Westec Services)
- City of National City, Land Use Code.
- City of Oceanside, Land Use Plan, February 19, 1980.

REFERENCES (continued)

City of Pacifica, Local Coastal Land Use Plan, September 14, 1979, (Ironsides and Associates).

Comprehensive Planning Organization, the San Diego Regional Coastal Access Study, June, 1978, (PBQ&D, Inc.).

San Diego Unified Port District, Port Master Plan, February 19, 1980.

San Diego Unified Port District, Port Master Plan EIR, September, 1979.

San Diego Unified Port District, Recreational Demand, September, 1972.

San Diego Unified Port District, Boating Slip Demand - 1995, August, 1978.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Environmental Statement - Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel, State Highway Route 54, July, 1977.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Environmental Statement - Recreation Facilities and Conservation of Sweetwater - Paradise Marshlands, July, 1977.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Sweetwater River - Final Environmental Impact Statement, Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel, State Highway Route 54, Interstate Highway Route 5, Recreation Facilities and Conservation of Marshlands, San Diego County, California, March 1982.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, Sweetwater River Flood Control Improvement, General Design Memorandum for Sweetwater River Flood Control Channel, San Diego County, California, Main Report, March 1982.


U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Insurance Administration, Flood Insurance Study - City of National City, August, 1978.

[CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION SEA LEVEL RISE POLICY GUIDANCE Interpretive Guidelines for Addressing Sea Level Rise in Local Coastal Programs and Coastal Development Permits. Nov. 7, 2018](#)

[CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE POLICY. March 8, 2019.](#)

[Port of San Diego Environmental Impact Report Balanced Plan. PMPA and DEIR Nov 2021.](#)

City-owned parcels proposed to be rezoned 2022-26 LCPA



City/District Boundary Adjustment Map




EXHIBIT 3